RESEARCH



The addition of pembrolizumab to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy did not increase the risk of developing postoperative anastomotic leakage for ESCC: an analysis from a prospective cohort



Wei-Xiang Qi^{1,2}, Shuyan Li^{1,2}, Huan Li^{1,2}, Jiayi Chen^{1,2*} and Shengguang Zhao^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background To compare the difference of postoperative anastomotic leakage (AL) rate between neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (NCRT) with pembrolizumab and NCRT group, and investigate the risk factors of developing AL for locally advanced esophageal squamous cell cancer (ESCC).

Materials and methods The GF was contoured on the pretreatment planning computed tomography and dosimetric parameters were retrospectively calculated. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the independent risk predictors for the entire cohort. A nomogram risk prediction model for postoperative AL was established.

Results A total of 160 ESCC patients were included for analysis. Of them, 112 were treated with NCRT with pembrolizumab and 44 patients with NCRT. Seventeen (10.6%) patients experienced postoperative AL with a rate of 10.7% (12/112) in NCRT with pembrolizumab and 11.4% (5/44) in NCRT group. For the entire cohort, mean, D50, Dmax, V5, V10 and V20 GF dose were statistically higher in those with AL (all p < 0.05). Multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that tumor length (p = 0.012), volume of GF (p = 0.003) and mean dose of GF (p = 0.007) were independently predictors for postoperative AL. Using receiver operating characteristics analysis, the mean dose limit on the GF was defined as 14 Gy.

Conclusion Based on our prospective database, no significant difference of developing AL were observed between NCRT with pembrolizumab and NCRT group. We established an individualized nomograms based on mean GF dose combined with clinical indicators to predict AL in the early postoperative period.

Keywords Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy, Pembrolizumab, Anastomotic leakage, Gastric fundus, Nomogram

*Correspondence: Jiayi Chen cjy11756@rjh.com.cn Shengguang Zhao zsg10935@rjh.com.cn

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China ²Shanghai Key Laboratory of Proton-therapy, Shanghai 201801, China

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Introduction

According to the global cancer statistics 2020, esophageal cancer (EC) ranked the seventh in cancer incidence and sixth in mortality worldwide [1]. China was a high-incidence area for EC in the world, with newly diagnosed cases accounting for half of world's new cases [2]. And 90% of those patients presented with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). ESCC was an aggressive disease, with a 5-year survival of 20% after surgery alone [3]. Since the publication of CROSS trial [4] and NEOCRTEC5010 trial [5], neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy(NCRT) followed by surgery become the standardized treatment option for locally advanced EC. However, although NCRT combined with surgery significantly improved the survival outcomes of EC patients, concerns had raised regarding potentially increased risk of postoperative complications and mortality [6]. Anastomotic leakage (AL) was one of the major severe complication after esophagectomy, which significantly associated with a reduced quality of life and an decreased survival [7].

Currently, esophagectomy could be performed by using a variety of surgical techniques, with the predominant preference of using gastric tube as the conduit for reconstruction. The anastomosis could be performed in the thorax or cervically through a neck incision. Prior to the present study, several studies had been performed to investigate the impact of dosimetry of the gastric conduit on the risk of AL, but the results are controversial. Two studies found that the RT dose on the gastric fundus was significantly associated with increased risk of AL, and recommended to minimize the radiation dose to the gastric fundus when planning NCRT [8, 9]. Another research with large sample size found that anastomosis placed within the preoperative radiation field, but not the RT dose on the gastric fundus, was an independent risk predictor for AL [10], while other four small size studies did not found a correlation between the RT dose on the gastric fundus and risk of AL [11-14]. Therefore, the relationship between RT dose of GF and risk of developing AL after surgery remained undetermined.

Recently, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) had been investigated in different stages of clinical trials [15, 16]. PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, such as pembrolizumab and nivolumab, had become the standard of care for the treatment of metastatic esophageal cancer [17–20]. Additionally, more trials had been performed to investigate the efficacy and toxicities of ICIs as neoadjuvant treatment for ESCC [21, 22]. Our previous study had showed that the combination of pembrolizumab with NCRT in ESCC patients achieved a pCR of 55.6% in ESCC patients [23]. However, to our best knowledge, whether the addition of pembrolizumab would increase the risk of developing AL for ESCC patients remained unknown. In addition, no related research had been performed to investigate the risk factors associated with AL among Chinese ESCC patient population, which was significantly different from those western patients. As a result, we performed the present study to compared to the difference of AL rate between NCRT with pembrolizumab and NCRT group, and to investigate the relation between GF radiation dose and risk of AL among a prospective cohort, and develop a novel nomogram prediction model based on clinical and dosimetric data to predict individualized risk of AL.

Materials and methods

Patients

The study was designed as a prospective cohort from four prospective trials (NCT NCT04435197, NCT04435197, NCT04513418, NCT03990532) [24–26], and all included patients were treated with NCRT with or without pembrolizumab followed by esophagectomy and reconstructed the conduit with a narrow gastric tube intrathoracic or cervical anastomosis at Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong university school of medicine, between Jan 2019 and July 2023. Data regarding surgical procedures, neoadjuvant therapy, and potential confounding clinical and demographic data (sex, age, body mass index, medical history, smoking status, alcohol use, location of tumor, radiotherapy modality, location of anastomosis, clinical TNM stage) were manually extracted.

Treatment protocol

During this study period, patients treated with standardcare NCRT of 41.4 Gy in 23 fractions, on five fractions per week with concurrent 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)/S-1 and cisplatin or weekly carboplatin (area under the curve of 2 mg/mL per min) and paclitaxel/nab-paclitaxel (50 mg/ m2 of body surface area). According to trial protocol, patients enrolled in PALACE trial would receive additional two cycles of pembrolizumab on days 1 and 22 of the neoadjuvant therapy at a dose of 200 mg, which had been reported in our previous studies [23, 27]. All planning was to be carried out with a computed tomography (CT)-based three-dimensional planning system with inhomogeneity correction. Patients were positioned for treatment according to marks in the skin and radiological landmarks in the vertebral column. The gross tumor volume (GTV), including the primary tumor (GTVp) and the positive regional lymph nodes (GTVn), was determined by all available information including the contrast enhanced CT, barium swallow, endoscopic examination, and ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography if available. GTV contained both. The clinical target volume included the GTVp with a cranial and caudal margin of 3 cm and 0.5 cm radial margin, as well as GTVn with 0.5 cm margin adjusted for anatomical

structures. The planning target volume was defined as the CTV with a 0.5 cm margin in all directions. Radiation planning was performed on the Eclipse Treatment Planning System 16.1 (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA), patients were treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) or Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMRT) utilizing 6-MV photons. After 4-6 weeks with completion of NCRT, all patients treated with esophagectomy. For tumor located in the proximal third of esophagus or patient presented with cervical lymph node involvement, McKeown esophagectomy with threefield lymph node dissection and cervical esophagogastric anastomosis was performed. The Ivor Lewis esophagectomy with two-field lymph node dissection and intrathoracic anastomosis was performed for middle and distal third located ESCC patients. According to the consensus of Esophagectomy Complications Consensus Group (ECCG), anastomotic leakage was defined as a disruption of the esophagogastric anastomosis, which was identified by clinical observations, radiolographic examination, esophago-gastroscopy [28].

Gastric fundus contouring

The GF was retrospectively delineated on the pretreatment planning CT according to the recommendations by Vande Walle C. et al [8], which had been adopted by several previous studies [9, 14]. Firstly, the superior most part of stomach within the diaphragmatic dome was countered in the transverse plane of 3 mm thickness. Then, four consecutive sections in caudal direction were countered at these levels. The resulting three dimensions structure was defined as gastric fundus. The volumes and the dose-volume histograms were created using the Eclipse Treatment Planning System 16.1 to calculate the following GF dose-volume parameters: (1) mean dose (Dmean), median dose (D50), minimal and maximal dose; (2) percentage of volume receiving a certain minimal dose, ranging from 5 Gy (V5) to 30 Gy(V30).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were summarized by median and range, and categorical variables were expressed by frequency and proportion. Fisher's test, Student's t test, and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare the distribution of clinicopathological and dosimetric features between the groups. Univariable and multivariate logistic regression models were used to investigate the risk factors for developing AL. If the radiation dose parameters were to be found significantly related to AL, multivariable logistic regression would be used to assess the risk factors associated with AL. For the dosimetric parameters that were significantly related to anastomotic leakage, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis was performed to identify ideal cutoff values in which equal weight was given to sensitivity and specificity. High correlations between some parameters were expected (e.g., V5 to V30 values and mean dose), resulting in the statistical problem of (multi)collinearity. As a result, only the mean dose was preselected for multivariate logistic analysis. All statistical tests were performed using SPSS 25.0 (IBM corporation, NewYork, NY, USA) and R version 3.6.1 software (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; http://www.r-project.org), and *p* value <0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

During the study period, a total of 183 ESCC patients treated with NCRT followed by esophagectomy were included for analysis. Of these patients, 18 were excluded for analysis because these patients were treated with NCRT alone and refused to esophagectomy, 5 patients were excluded due to disease progression after treating with NCRT. The baseline characteristics have been listed in Table 1. For the entire cohort, the majority of included patients were male, accounting for 83.1%. the median age was 66 years and median tumor length was 4 cm. 76.9% of included patients were stage IIIB and 13.1% patients were stage IVA. 53.1% of whom had lower third esophagus and 2.5% of whom had gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) cancer. 84/160 (52.5%) of the patients received volumetric modulated arc radiation, while 76/160 (47.5%) received IMRT.

Of the 160 included patients, 116 patients treated with NCRT+pembrolizumab and 44 patients treated with NCRT. The baseline characteristics between NCRT+pembrolizumab and NCRT were comparable excepting for cT stage (Table 1). A total of seventeen (10.6%) ESCC patients experienced postoperative AL, with a rate of 10.7% (12/112) in NCRT combined with pembrolizumab and 11.4% (5/44) in NCRT group, no significant differences could be observed between the two groups. The median NCRT duration was 32 days (ranges: 22-71). The common postoperative complications were pneumonia (11 patients, 6.9%) and arrhythmia (20 patients, 12.5%). With regard to treatment-related mortality, four patients (2.5%) died during the early postoperative period: 3 died of from complications after anastomotic leakage, and 1 died of pulmonary infection and septic shock. All post-operative complications were recorded and presented in supplemental Table 1.

Among the entire cohort, mean, Maximum and D50 dose to the gastric fundus was significantly higher for those with an anastomotic leak when compared to those without an anastomotic leak (15.76 Gy vs. 7.99 Gy, p=0.006; 29.94 Gy vs. 17.86 Gy, p=0.013; 15.45 Gy vs. 7.56 Gy p=0.047, Table 2), respectively. Additionally, V5, V10 and V20 of GF in anastomotic leak group was higher than those without an anastomotic leak (all p<0.05,

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of included patients						
Characteristics	Entire cohort	NCRT + pem- brolizumab (n = 116)	NCRT (<i>n</i> = 44)	P value		
Age, median	66 years	65 years	67.5 years	0.37		
≤65y, n	79	60	19	0.69		
>65y, n	81	56	25			
cT						
cT2	18	9	9	0.025		
cT3	135	100	35			
cT4	7	7	0			
сN						
cN0	7	5	2	0.81		
cN1	61	47	14			
cN2	75	50	25			
cN3	17	14	3			
Stage						
	7	5	2	0.89		
IIIA	9	9	0			
IIIB	123	84	39			
IVA	21	18	3			
BMI	21.97	22 38(17 13-	21 18(16 43-	0.086		
	(16.43–30.89)	30.39)	26.53)			
Volume of Gas-	15.47	15.8(4.8-41.4cm ³)	13.35(7.4-	0.19		
tric fundus	(4.8-41.4cm ³)		36.8cm ³)			
Sex						
Male	133	98	35	0.61		
Female	27	18	9			
Tumor length	4 cm	4 cm	4.5 cm	0.26		
Smoking status						
Yes	103	76	27	0.76		
No	57	40	17			
Drinking history						
Yes	98	73	25	0.60		
No	62	43	19			
Co-morbidity						
Yes	67	51	16	0.49		
No	93	65	28			
Tumor location						
Upper third	21	18	3	0.46		
Middle third	50	38	12			
Lower third	85	58	27			
GEJ	4	2	2			
Location of anastomosis						
Cervical anastomosis	92	70	22	0.32		
Intrathoracic anastomosis RT modality	68	46	22			
IMRT	76	62	30	0.13		
VMRT	84	54	14	5.15		

Abbreviations GEJ: Gastroesophageal junction; IMRT: Intensity-modulated radiotherapy; VMRT: Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy; BMI: Body mass index

Page 4 of 10

without Anastomotic complications				
Characteristics	OR (95%CI)	P value		
Mean dose, Gy	1.05(1.01-1.10)	0.009		
Minimum dose, Gy	1.06(0.97-1.15)	0.19		
D50, Gy	1.05(1.01-1.09)	0.011		
Maximum dose, Gy	1.03(1.01-1.06)	0.019		
V5, %	1.01(1.00-1.03)	0.023		
V10,%	1.02(1.00-1.03)	0.039		
V15,%	1.02(1.00-1.03)	0.039		
V20,%	1.02(1.00-1.03)	0.017		
V25,%	1.02(1.00-1.04)	0.015		
V30,%	1.02(1.00-1.04)	0.017		

 Table 2
 Univariable Logistic Regression Analysis of gastric

 Fundus dose characteristics between patients with Versus without Anastomotic complications
 Patients

Table 2). On univariate logistic regression analysis, there was significant association between the dose-volume parameters of GF including mean dose, D50, Maximum dose, V5, V10, V15, V20, V25 and V30 and the risk of developing anastomotic leak (all p<0.05, Supplemental Table 1). The typical cases of dose distributions in relation to the gastric fundus in ESCC patients with and without anastomotic leakage were presented in Fig. 1. These findings suggest that effects should be made to limit the dose to the gastric fundus when planning NCRT for ESCC.

For clinical-pathological variables, only tumor length (p=0.004) and volume of gastric fundus (p=0.033) were significantly associated with risk of developing AL on univariate analysis (Table 3). Multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that tumor length(p=0.012), volume of gastric fundus (p=0.003) and mean dose of gastric fundus(p=0.007) were independent risk factors for developing AL in early postoperative period (Table 4). Using ROC analysis, the mean dose to the gastric fundus above which the risk of early anastomotic leakage significantly increased was identified as 14.27 Gy, and the area under the curve was 0.689 (supplemental Table 2). Finally, three independent variables including tumor length, volume of gastric fundus and mean dose of gastric fundus, were selected for the construction of a nomogram of AL rate (Fig. 2). The C-index of the nomogram was 0.824(Fig. 3), and the calibration plot indicated that there was a good concordance between the predicted and observed AL probabilities (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Currently, NCRT followed by esophagectomy with lymphadenectomy remained the standardized choice for the treatment of esophageal cancer. However, esophagectomy was a technically complex procedure which was associated with major complications, occurring over 17% of the patients [29]. Anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy, including cervical anastomotic leakage and

Characteristics	OR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value
Age		
≤65y	1	
>65y	2.57 (0.86–7.68)	0.09
Stage		
II/IIIA	1	
IIIB/IVA	2.02 (0.25–16.23)	0.51
Sex (female vs. male)		
Female	1	
Male	1.59 (0.34–7.39)	0.55
BMI	0.96(0.79–1.14)	0.62
Location		
Upper third	1	
Middle third	0.40 (0.05-3.08)	0.38
Lower third/ GEJ	1.60(0.33-7.72)	0.56
Tumor length	1.32(1.09–1.60)	0.004
Volumes of GF, ml	1.07(1.01-1.15)	0.033
Smoking status		
No	1	
Yes	1.37(0.46–4.11)	0.57
Drinking status		
No	1	
Yes	1.59(0.53-4.76)	0.41
Co-morbidity		
No		
Yes	1.27(0.46–3.47)	0.66
Location of anastomosis		
Cervical anastomosis	1	
Intrathoracic anastomosis	1.40(0.49-4.00)	0.52
NCRT regimen		
NCRT alone	1	
NCRT + pembrolizumab	1.15(0.38–3.48)	0.80
Radiation modality		
IMRT	1	
VMRT	2.37(0.80–7.06)	0.12

 Table 3
 Univariable Logistic Regression Analysis of clinical characteristics between patients with Versus without Anastomotic complications

Table 4Multivariable logistic regression analysis ofcharacteristics between patients with Versus withoutAnastomotic complications

Characteristics	OR (95%CI)	P value
Tumor length	1.27(1.05–1.53)	0.012
Volumes of Gastric Fundus, ml	1.12(1.04-1.22)	0.003
Mean dose of gastric fundus, Gy	1.07(1.02-1.12)	0.007

intrathoracic anastomotic leakage, was one of the major severe complication, which was reported in the literature to occur in between 3% and 36% of patients after esophagectomy [3, 30]. Recently, multiple studies had been performed to investigate the efficacy of combination ICIs with neoadjuvant treatment for ESCC patients. However, concerns had raised regarding potentially increased risk of postoperative anastomotic leakage (AL) for the combination of NACT and ICIs. To our best knowledge, this was the first study to investigate the risk of developing postoperative AL among ESCC patients treated NCRT with or without ICIs. In the present study, a total of seventeen (10.6%) ESCC patients experienced postoperative AL in our patient cohort. The incidence of developing AL in NCRT combined with pembrolizumab was comparable to those in NCRT group (10.7% vs. 11.4%, p=0.98). AL was associated with prolonged hospital stay, increased costs and postoperative 90-day mortality [31, 32]. In our cohort, 3/17 (17.6%) patients with AL experienced 90-day mortality after trimodality therapy. As a result, AL after esophagectomy was a severe complication with a high mortality rate, but the combination of pembrolizumab with NCRT did not increase the risk of developing AL for ESCC patients. Moreover, it was very important to identify potentially risk factors to reduce the occurrence of AL in early postoperative period.



Fig. 1 Axial and coronal section of planning CT scan showing the contoured gastric fundus with dose distributions: **A** and **B**: a patient who did not experience postoperative anastomotic leakage after receiving a mean dose to the gastric fundus of 3.3 Gy; **C** and **D**: a patient who experienced postoperative anastomotic leakage after receiving a mean dose to the gastric fundus of 25.9 Gy. The red, green, light blue and purple lines were 40, 30,20 and 10 Gy isodose, the yellow line was the gastric fundus

Prior to the present study, several studies had been published to investigate the relation between radiation dose to gastric fundus and the incidence of AL in patients undergoing NCRT followed by construction of an intrathoracic/cervical anastomosis, but the results were controversial. Therefore, whether the dose constraints on gastric fundus could reduce the risk of anastomotic leakage in the treatment of ESCC remains undetermined. The present study was a large prospective cohort data to comprehensively assess the impact of clinical-pathological and dosimetric parameters on risk of AL occurrence in Chinese ESCC patients. In the present study, we found that mean, D50, Dmax, V5, V10 and V20 of GF dose were statistically higher in those with AL (all p < 0.05), while Dmin, V15, V20, V25, V30 doses did not significantly differ between those with and those AL (all p > 0.05). Multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that mean dose of GF was an independently predictor for postoperative AL. In consistent with Juloori er al's study, the authors also found that there was an association of the mean dose to the stomach and substructures to the incidence of all grade leaks [10]. Using receiver operating characteristics analysis, the area under the curve was 0.689, and the Mean limit on the GF was defined as 14 Gy. According to the results of present study, limiting the mean dose to 14 Gy could decrease the risk of anastomotic leakage to 15% in this setting.

In the present study, volume of GF was another independent factor for the development of anastomotic leakage, and volume of GF in patients with anastomotic leakage was significantly larger than those without AL(p < 0.05). However, in Goense et al's work, volume of GF was not a risk factor for developing AL [9]. One possible explanation for this finding was that all ESCC patients in our cohort would drink oral contrast agent before scanning a contrast-enhanced simulation computerized tomography scan. The volume of GF in our study was 16.82 ± 6.82 ml, which was significantly larger than Goense et al's work (11.8 ml). Therefore, more healthy tissue of GF in AL group would be irradiated. In our study, 13/17(76%) AL occurred in lower third/GEJ and there was a tendency to increased risk of developing AL in the lower third/GEJ (RR1.60, 95%:0.33-7.72). However, the 95% confidence interval of RR is wide, thus it suggested insufficient sample size in the present study, and further large studies focus on investigating the risk of developing AL in esophageal cancer were still needed. Additionally, tumor length was another risk factor for



Fig. 2 Nomogram for predicting postoperative anastomotic leakage after trimodality therapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma



Fig. 3 Receiver operating characteristic curves for risk model to predict the anastomotic leakage after trimodality therapy



Fig. 4 Calibration curves for the nomogram to estimate anastomotic leakage after trimodality therapy

AL in the present study. For patients with longer tumor length, more healthy esophagus tissue would be removed and those patients would be at high risk of leakage due to excessive tension on the anastomosis. Finally, we established a nomogram to predict the risk of AL among ESCC patients treated trimodality therapy based on these three risk factors.

The present study had the largest sample size focusing on Chinese ESCC patients treated with current trimodality therapy and is the first-study to investigate the risk of developing AL for ESCC patients treated with combined of ICIs and NCRT. However, our study had several limitations. First of all, both of cervical and intrathoracic anastomosis were included for analysis, which might a source of heterogeneity on the association between radiation dose and anastomotic leakage. Secondly, we were unable to assess the impact of breathing-induced organ motion on the irradiation dose to the gastric fundus. Thirdly, the patients were obtained from a single medical institute, further studies with large samples were still needed to externally validate our findings.

Conclusion

Based on the prospective cohort data, the addition of pembrolizumab did not significantly increase the risk of developing AL. In addition, we identified tumor length, volume of GF and mean dose of GF were three independently predictors for postoperative AL. Based on these three factors, we established a nomogram to predict the risk of AL among ESCC patients treated with NCRT followed by esophagectomy with lymphadenectomy. When planning RT treatment, effect should be made to limit the Dmean of gastric fundus to 14 Gy. Further studies were still needed to confirm our findings.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-024-12774-w.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

None.

Author contributions

Principal investigator: J.C. and S.Z. Drafting of the protocol manuscript: W.X.Q.Conceptualization: J.C. and W.X.Q; Project administration: S.L. and H.L. data analysis, acquisition, and interpretation: W.X.Q., J.C.; manuscript preparation: W.X.Q., S.L., H.L., J.C. and S.Z. Final approval of manuscript: all authors.

Funding

This study was supported in part by the Shanghai Sailing Program (No. 21YF1427700), the National Science Foundation of China (No. 82102819), Beijing Science and Technology Innovation Medical Development Foundation (grant number KC2021-JX-0170-9), Clinical Research Special Project of Shanghai Municipal Health Commission Health Industry (202340226), Shanghai Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan Medical Innovation

Research Project (23Y11904700) and Shanghai Key Laboratory of Protontherapy (23dz2261000). The funding agency plays no role in the design or execution of the study.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. Informed consent to participate was obtained from all of the participants in the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 18 March 2024 / Accepted: 6 August 2024 Published online: 20 August 2024

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.
- Zheng RSZS, Zeng HM, Wang SM, Sun KX, Chen R, Li L, Wei WQ, He J. Cancer incidence and mortality in China, 2016. JNCC 2022, 2(1):1–9.
- Hulscher JB, Tijssen JG, Obertop H, van Lanschot JJ. Transthoracic versus transhiatal resection for carcinoma of the esophagus: a meta-analysis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2001;72(1):306–13.
- 4. Eyck BM, van Lanschot JJB, Hulshof M, van der Wilk BJ, Shapiro J, van Hagen P, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Wijnhoven BPL, van Laarhoven HWM, Nieuwenhuijzen GAP, et al. Ten-year outcome of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Plus surgery for esophageal Cancer: the Randomized Controlled CROSS Trial. J Clin Oncology: Official J Am Soc Clin Oncol. 2021;39(18):1995–2004.
- Yang H, Liu H, Chen Y, Zhu C, Fang W, Yu Z, Mao W, Xiang J, Han Y, Chen Z et al. Long-term Efficacy of Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Plus Surgery for the Treatment of Locally Advanced Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: The NEOCRTEC5010 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA surgery 2021.
- Biere SS, Maas KW, Cuesta MA, van der Peet DL. Cervical or thoracic anastomosis after esophagectomy for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dig Surg. 2011;28(1):29–35.
- Verstegen MHP, Bouwense SAW, van Workum F, Ten Broek R, Siersema PD, Rovers M, Rosman C. Management of intrathoracic and cervical anastomotic leakage after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: a systematic review. World J Emerg Surgery: WJES. 2019;14:17.
- Vande Walle C, Ceelen WP, Boterberg T, Vande Putte D, Van Nieuwenhove Y, Varin O, Pattyn P. Anastomotic complications after Ivor Lewis esophagectomy in patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiation are related to radiation dose to the gastric fundus. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012;82(3):e513–519.
- Goense L, van Rossum PSN, Ruurda JP, van Vulpen M, Mook S, Meijer GJ, van Hillegersberg R. Radiation to the gastric Fundus increases the risk of Anastomotic Leakage after Esophagectomy. Ann Thorac Surg. 2016;102(6):1798–804.
- Juloori A, Tucker SL, Komaki R, Liao Z, Correa AM, Swisher SG, Hofstetter WL, Lin SH. Influence of preoperative radiation field on postoperative leak rates in esophageal cancer patients after trimodality therapy. J Thorac Oncology: Official Publication Int Association Study Lung Cancer. 2014;9(4):534–40.
- Kastelowitz N, Marsh MD, McCarter M, Meguid RA, Bhardwaj NW, Mitchell JD, Weyant MJ, Scott C, Schefter T, Stumpf P, et al. Impact of Radiation Dose on Postoperative complications in Esophageal and Gastroesophageal Junction cancers. Front Oncol. 2021;11:614640.
- 12. Koeter M, van der Sangen MJ, Hurkmans CW, Luyer MD, Rutten HJ, Nieuwenhuijzen GA. Radiation dose does not influence anastomotic complications in patients with esophageal cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiation and transhiatal esophagectomy. Radiat Oncol. 2015;10:59.
- 13. Bang A, Broomfield JA, Chan J, Alyamani N, Crnic A, Gilbert S, Pantarotto JR. Radiation dose mapping and anastomotic complications after

trimodality therapy for esophageal cancers. Clin Translational Radiation Oncol. 2019;15:76–82.

- Radhakrishna N, Sudha SP, Kalayarasan R, Penumadu P. Does Radiation Dose to gastric fundus during Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal Carcinoma have an impact on postoperative anastomotic leak? Gastrointest Tumors. 2021;8(3):121–7.
- 15. Li N, Sohal D. Current state of the art: immunotherapy in esophageal cancer and gastroesophageal junction cancer. *Cancer immunology, immunotherapy: Cll* 2023.
- Ajani JA, D'Amico TA, Bentrem DJ, Cooke D, Corvera C, Das P, Enzinger PC, Enzler T, Farjah F, Gerdes H, et al. Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers, Version 2.2023, NCCN Clinical Practice guidelines in Oncology. J Natl Compr Cancer Network: JNCCN. 2023;21(4):393–422.
- Chung HC, Kang YK, Chen Z, Bai Y, Wan Ishak WZ, Shim BY, Park YL, Koo DH, Lu J, Xu J, et al. Pembrolizumab versus paclitaxel for previously treated advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer (KEYNOTE-063): a randomized, open-label, phase 3 trial in Asian patients. Cancer. 2022;128(5):995–1003.
- Sun JM, Shen L, Shah MA, Enzinger P, Adenis A, Doi T, Kojima T, Metges JP, Li Z, Kim SB, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for first-line treatment of advanced oesophageal cancer (KEYNOTE-590): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet. 2021;398(10302):759–71.
- Jing C, Wang J, Zhu M, Bai Z, Zhao B, Zhang J, Yin J, Yang X, Liu Z, Zhang Z, et al. Camrelizumab combined with apatinib and S-1 as second-line treatment for patients with advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma: a phase 2, single-arm, prospective study. Cancer Immunol Immunotherapy: Cll. 2022;71(11):2597–608.
- Doki Y, Ajani JA, Kato K, Xu J, Wyrwicz L, Motoyama S, Ogata T, Kawakami H, Hsu CH, Adenis A, et al. Nivolumab Combination Therapy in Advanced Esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(5):449–62.
- Zhu M, Chen C, Foster NR, Hartley C, Mounajjed T, Salomao MA, Fruth BF, Beamer SE, Kim Y, Harrington SM, et al. Pembrolizumab in Combination with Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy for patients with Resectable Adenocarcinoma of the Gastroesophageal Junction. Clin Cancer Res. 2022;28(14):3021–31.
- Li Y, Zhou A, Liu S, He M, Chen K, Tian Z, Li Y, Qin J, Wang Z, Chen H, et al. Comparing a PD-L1 inhibitor plus chemotherapy to chemotherapy alone in neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced ESCC: a randomized phase II clinical trial: a randomized clinical trial of neoadjuvant therapy for ESCC. BMC Med. 2023;21(1):86.
- Li C, Zhao S, Zheng Y, Han Y, Chen X, Cheng Z, Wu Y, Feng X, Qi W, Chen K, et al. Preoperative pembrolizumab combined with chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (PALACE-1). Eur J Cancer. 2021;144:232–41.
- Qi WX, Zheng S, Cao L, Xu C, Zhao S, Chen J. Simultaneous integrated boost for mediastinal lymph node recurrence after radical surgery for esophageal cancer: interim results from a phase I/II prospective study. Thorac Cancer. 2021;12(8):1180–6.
- Cao Y, Han D, Yang S, Shi Y, Zhao S, Jin Q, Li J, Li C, Zhang Y, Shen W, et al. Effects of pre-operative enteral immunonutrition for esophageal cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy: protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled trial (point trial, pre-operative immunonutrition therapy). BMC Cancer. 2022;22(1):650.
- 26. Zheng Y, Li C, Yu B, Zhao S, Li J, Chen X, Li H. Preoperative pembrolizumab combined with chemoradiotherapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: Trial design. JTCVS open. 2022;9:293–9.
- Qi WX, Wang X, Li C, Li S, Li H, Xu F, Chen J, Zhao S, Li H. Pretreatment absolute lymphocyte count is an independent predictor for survival outcomes for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and pembrolizumab: an analysis from a prospective cohort. Thorac Cancer. 2023;14(17):1556–66.
- Low DE, Alderson D, Cecconello I, Chang AC, Darling GE, D'Journo XB, Griffin SM, Holscher AH, Hofstetter WL, Jobe BA, et al. International Consensus on standardization of Data Collection for complications Associated with Esophagectomy: Esophagectomy Complications Consensus Group (ECCG). Ann Surg. 2015;262(2):286–94.
- Schuring N, Jezerskyte E, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Sprangers MAG, Lagergren P, Johar A, Markar SR, Gisbertz SS, group Ls. Influence of postoperative complications following esophagectomy for cancer on quality of life: a European multicenter study. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2023;49(1):97–105.
- 30. Pace M, Minervini A, Goglia M, Cinquepalmi M, Moschetta G, Antolino L, D'Angelo F, Valabrega S, Petrucciani N, Berardi G, et al. Overall survival

following anastomotic leakage after surgery for Carcinoma of the Esophagus and Gastroesophageal Junction: a systematic review. vivo. 2023;37(4):1423–31.

- Khuri SF, Henderson WG, DePalma RG, Mosca C, Healey NA, Kumbhani DJ. Participants in the VANSQIP: determinants of long-term survival after major surgery and the adverse effect of postoperative complications. Ann Surg. 2005;242(3):326–41. discussion 341 – 323.
- 32. Andreou A, Biebl M, Dadras M, Struecker B, Sauer IM, Thuss-Patience PC, Chopra S, Fikatas P, Bahra M, Seehofer D, et al. Anastomotic leak predicts

diminished long-term survival after resection for gastric and esophageal cancer. Surgery. 2016;160(1):191–203.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.