RESEARCH



Relative efficacy of antibody-drug conjugates and other anti-HER2 treatments on survival in HER2-positive advanced breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis



Zian Kang¹, Yuqing Jin², Huihui Yu³, Su Li¹ and Yingjie Qi^{1*}

Abstract

Background Novel antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) drugs present a promising anti-cancer treatment, although survival benefits for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (BC) remain controversial. The aim of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the comparative effect of ADCs and other anti-HER2 therapy on progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) for treatment of HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC.

Methods Relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were retrieved from five databases. The risk of bias was assessed with the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for RCTs by RevMan5.4 software. The hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were extracted to evaluate the benefit of ADCs on PFS and OS in HER2-positive advanced BC by meta-analysis.

Results Meta-analysis of six RCTs with 3870 patients revealed that ADCs significantly improved PFS (HR: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.49–0.80, P = 0.0002) and OS (HR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.72–0.86, P < 0.0001) of patients with HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC. Subgroup analysis showed that PFS and OS were obviously prolonged for patients who previously received HER2-targeted therapy. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias suggested that the results were stable and reliable.

Conclusion Statistically significant benefits for PFS and OS were observed with ADCs in HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC, especially for those who received prior anti-HER2 treatment.

Keywords Antibody-drug conjugates, Breast cancer, Overall survival, Progression-free survival, Meta-analysis

*Correspondence:

Yingjie Qi princessqyj@sina.com

¹Department of Pharmacy, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University,

Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute, Shenyang,

Liaoning Province 110042, China

²School of Life Science and Biopharmaceutics, Shenyang Pharmaceutical

University, Shenyang, China

³Department of Cancer Prevention and Control, Cancer Hospital of China

Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute, Shenyang, China



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate of the original autory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Deciration waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is a leading cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality of women aged 20–59 years [1, 2]. Human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) is overexpressed in about 20–25% of BC and closely correlated to the proliferative and invasive capabilities of BC cells [3]. The biological behavior is characterized by high recurrence, metastasis, drug resistance and poor prognosis [4]. Conventional treatments include large molecule monoclonal antibodies, small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors and cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens. However, these modalities often fall short due to their limited efficacy or serious adverse drug reactions [5–7]. Thus, more effective and safer treatment strategies are urgently needed [8].

Antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) targeting HER2 gene amplification or protein overexpression can greatly improve the prognosis of patients with HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC. ADCs are composed of a monoclonal antibody, cytotoxic agent and chemical linker. When the monoclonal antibody omponent of the ADC complex is endocytosed, the linker is cleaved by the low pH of lysosomes, which releases the cytotoxic agent to cause damage to DNA or microtubule proteins, resulting in death of tumor cells [9–11]. Therefore, ADCs has the capacity for precise targeting, stable therapeutic efficacy and low toxicity [12, 13].

Numerous clinical trials have investigated the efficacy of ADCs targeting HER2 for treatment of HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC. In terms of PFS, the international multicenter phase III clinical EMILIA trial [14, 15] validated that trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) significantly improved (p<0.001) progression-free survival (PFS) of patients with HER2-positive advanced BC. Notably, MARIANNE study [16] revealed that T-DM1 did not bring obvious PFS benefits compared to other anti-HER2 treatments. In terms of OS, the TH3RESA study [17, 18] underscored the median OS was significantly prolonged in T-DM1 group. However, MARI-ANNE study found that the OS of the intervention group containing T-DM1 was not inferior to that of trastuzumab combined with taxanes.

In consideration of the conflicting outcomes of previous individual clinical trial, the aims of this systematic review and meta-analysis were to explore the efficacy of ADCs on PFS and OS for treatment of HER2-positive locally advanced and metastatic BC and identify patients who would most benefit from treatment.

Materials and methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis followed the principle of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [19]. The protocol was registered in PROSPERO (CRD42023477508).

Search strategy

Relevant clinical studies of the efficacy of ADCs targeting HER2-positive advanced BC were retrieved from the PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Embase, and Clinical Trials databases (from inception to March 2023). The main therapy-related search terms were (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 OR HER-2 protein OR EGFR2 protein) AND (Antibody-Drug Conjugates OR ADCs OR ADC) AND (survival OR overall survival OR OS OR progression-free survival OR PFS). The diseaserelated search term was breast cancer OR breast neoplasm OR breast tumor. Supplementary File. 1 described the detailed methods used to search. Also, the reference sections of all articles were manually searched to identify other eligible studies.

Eligibility criteria

The eligibility criteria of prospective studies included (1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of patients with HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC; (2) immunohistochemistry analysis (with 3+) and/or fluorescence in situ hybridization (amplification ratio \geq 2.0) of HER2-positive; (3) intervention with ADCs; and (4) inclusion of PFS or OS with the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). The exclusion criteria were (1) early stage BC; (2) non-RCTs, HER2-negative, animal studies, reviews, and case reports; (3) failure to report PFS or OS.

Study selection and data extraction

Two of the authors (KZA and QYJ) independently screened the articles based on the eligibility criteria and extracted the data. If no consensus was reached, a third author (LS) made the final decision to either include or exclude the study.

The selection method were as follows: (1) P: patients in the original research were diagnosed with HER2positive advanced breast cancer; (2) I: HER2-positive breast cancer patients received anti-HER2 treatment of ADC; (3) C: HER2-positive breast cancer patients received other anti-HER2 treatment; (4) O: studies that reported HR and 95% CIs of PFS or OS; and (5) S: RCTs.

The extracted data included the name of the trial, name of the first author, publication year, study phase, study population, study design, intervention, sample size, HRs, and 95% CIs for PFS and OS. The extraction data were recorded in an Excel file (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

Quality assessment

The risk of bias was assessed in accordance with the criteria in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. The quality of the included studies was evaluated with Cochrane Collaboration's "Risk of Bias" for RCTs by the Review Manager 5.4 software [20, 21]. Two of the authors independently performed the risk of bias evaluation from seven aspects: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding for participants and personnel, blinding for outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias. The risk of bias was graded as low, high, or unclear.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with RevMan5.4 and STATA 16.0 software (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA). The HRs and 95% CIs of each study were pooled to evaluate the efficacy of ADCs as compared to other HER2-targeting regimens in HER2-positive advanced BC. The heterogeneity among studies was assessed using Cochrane's Q and I^2 statistics. A probability (*P*) value ≤ 0.10 or I^2 value $\geq 50\%$ indicated significant heterogeneity, thus a random-effects model was adopted.

In addition, subgroup analysis was conducted to identify the origin of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis was performed to determine the reliability of the results. Egger's test was conducted to assess publication bias. The publication bias was absent if P>0.05 in Egger's test [22].

Results

Study selection and characteristics

In total, 1311 articles were retrieved. Of these, 286 duplicated studies were excluded. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, an additional 900 studies, which included reviews, commentaries, case reports, and irrelevant studies, were also excluded. Subsequently, the full text of 125 studies was examined. Finally, six studies (7 RCTs) were included in the meta-analysis [15–17, 23–25]. A flow chart of the study selection process is presented in Fig. 1.

The six studies included 3870 patients with HER2positive advanced BC, were published between 2013 and 2023, and included one phase II and five phase III studies. Five studies included two groups and one included three groups. The ADCs used in the studies included T-DM1, trastuzumab duocarmycin (SYD985), and trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-Dxd). All studies compared the efficacy of ADCs versus other HER2-targeting regimens. The characteristics of the selected studies are presented in Table 1 and the quality evaluation results are shown in Fig. 2.

Meta-analysis of PFS

All six studies (7 RCTs) reported the efficacy of ADCs versus other HER2-targeted regimens for PFS of

patients with HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC. Because of the presence of heterogeneity among studies (I^2 =88%, P<0.00001), a random-effects model was adopted for analysis. The pooled HR indicated that ADCs had significantly improved PFS as compared to other HER2-targeting drugs plus chemotherapy (HR: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.49-0.80, P=0.0002; Fig. 3). Subgroup analysis stratified by prior anti-HER2 treatment was performed to further explore the potential origin of heterogeneity. Among patients who did not receive anti-HER2 therapy, there was no significant difference in outcomes between ADCs and other HER2-targeted regimens plus chemotherapy (HR: 0.85, 95% CI: 0.73-1.00, P=0.05; Fig. 4), while additional treatment with ADCs conveyed a significant benefit to patients who previously received HER2-targeted therapy (HR: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.53-0.70, P<0.00001; Fig. 4) or trastuzumab and T-DM1 therapy (HR: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.28-0.45, P<0.00001; Fig. 4). However, subgroup analysis stratified by treatment lines did not reduce heterogeneity (Fig. 4).

Meta-analysis of OS

All six studies (7 RCTs) assessed the OS of patients with HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC who received ADCs versus other HER2-targeted regimens.

Due to the absence of heterogeneity among studies ($I^2=24\%$, P=0.24), a fixed-effects model was used for analysis. The pooled HR revealed that ADCs prolonged OS of patients with HER2-positive advanced BC (HR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.72–0.86, P<0.0001; Fig. 3). Subgroup analysis stratified by treatment line was performed to identify patients who would most benefit from treatment with ADCs. The results found that ADCs did not significantly improve OS as first-line therapy (HR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.78–1.05, P=0.82; Fig. 4). However, ADCs as post-line therapy significantly improved OS (HR: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.66–0.82, P=0.39; Fig. 4).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis performed by removing each study showed that the results of the meta-analysis were reliable (Fig. 5). The Egger's test results indicated the absence of publication bias in regard to PFS and OS (PFS: P=0.513, OS: P=0.602; Fig. 5).

Discussion

HER2 was identified as a critical prognostic factor in BC. Overexpression of the HER2 gene, which stimulated transphosphorylation of the HER2 protein on the cell membrane and continuous activation of downstream signal transduction pathways related to proliferation of tumor cells, was predictive of high malignancy, rapid



Fig. 1 Flow chart of the literature search and study selection

progression, short chemotherapy remission, and poor overall survival [26, 27]. ADCs targeting HER2 have become an emerging treatment strategy for HER2-positive advanced BC. Although various international multicenter clinical trials investigated the efficacy of ADC vs. other anti-HER2 drugs, the results were often contradictory. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis of seven RCTs was to assess the efficacy of ADCs for HER2-positive advanced BC and to identify patients who would most benefit from treatment.

Our results showed a statistically significant prolongation of PFS and OS in patients treated with ADCs. The potential mechanism might be related to structure and function of ADCs. ADCs combined with conventional chemotherapy drugs with highly selective targeted monoclonal antibody not only inhibited HER2 dimerization and activation of downstream signaling pathways, but also promoted internalization of the ADC complex. Targeting of HER2 positive tumor cells increased drug selectivity and cytotoxic effects in tumor cells, while reducing adverse effects resulting from systemic drug exposure [28–30]. The unique pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of ADCs can improve the outcomes of patients with HER2-positive locally advanced and metastatic BC.

Subgroup analysis showed that ADCs as a first-line treatment did not significantly improve PFS and OS of patients who did not receive prior anti-HER2 therapy. For these patients, high sensitivity to both ADCs and other HER2-targeted therapies plus chemotherapy could be attributed to receive drug treatment for the first time. Additionally, the dosage of chemotherapy drugs in other HER2-targeted therapies combined

Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies in the meta-analysis

Study	First author	Year	Phase	Population	Study design	Intervention	Population(n) intervention/total(%)	PFS HR (95%CI)	OS HR (95%CI)
TDM4450g	Hurvitz [23]	2013	II	Stage III∼IV, LABC/MBC	Multi- center RCT	T-DM1	67(48.91%)	0.59(0.36,0.97)	1.06(0.48,2.35)
EMILIA	Verma [15]	2017		Stage III#xFF5E;IV, LABC/MBC	Multi- center RCT	T-DM1	495(49.95%)	0.65(0.55,0.77)	0.75(0.64,0.88)
MARIANNE(A)	Perez [16]	2017	III	Stage III#xFF5E;IV, LABC/MBC	Multi- center RCT	T-DM1	367(33.45%)	0.91(0.75,1.10)	0.93(0.75,1.16)
MARIANNE(B)	Perez [16]	2017	III	Stage III#xFF5E;IV, LABC/MBC	Multi- center RCT	T-DM1 + Pertu- zumab	363(33.09%)	0.87(0.71,1.06)	0.86(0.69,1.08)
TH3RESA	Krop [17]	2017	III	Stage III#xFF5E;IV, LABC/MBC	Multi- center RCT	T-DM1	404(67.11%)	0.53(0.42,0.66)	0.68(0.54,0.85)
TULIP	Manich [24]	2021	III	Stage III#xFF5E;IV, LABC/MBC	Multi- center RCT	SYD985	291(66.59%)	0.64(0.49,0.84)	0.87(0.68,1.11)
DESTINY BREAST 02	Fabrice [25]	2023	III	Stage IV, MBC	Multi- center RCT	T-DXd	406(66.78%)	0.36(0.28,0.45)	0.66(0.50,0.86)

LABC, locally advanced breast cancer; MBC, metastatic breast cancer; RCT, randomized clinical trial; TDM-1, trastuzumab emtansine; T-DXd, Trastuzumab deruxtecan; SYD985, trastuzumab duocarmazine; PFS, progression free survival; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval

with chemotherapy regimens was much higher than for ADCs [31]. The anti-tumor effects of chemotherapeutic drugs was relatively pronounced, especially in the initial stage of treatment [32, 33], which might account for the lack of clear benefits for patients receiving ADCs vs. other HER2-targeted therapies plus chemotherapy.

Both PFS and OS were significantly improved among patients who previously received HER2-targeted therapies or T-DM1, possibly due to a greater tumor burden, severe systemic toxicity, lower patient tolerance and the emergence of drug resistance [34], which limited benefits of cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens. Therefore, ADCs with lower systemic toxicity and more accurate targeting might be more suitable for these patients. Besides, mutations to the α -subunit catalyzed by phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase or the loss of PTEN expression could lead to poor efficacy of trastuzumab or lapatinib. The results of the EMILIA and TH3RESA studies [15, 24] revealed that T-DM1 was therapeutically effective for cases with mutations to the α -subunit catalyzed by phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase, which potentially contributed to improve the PFS of patients treated with ADCs. Additionally, in the phase III TULIP study, some patients who received T-DM1 included in the ADCs group still achieved good clinical outcomes, which might be related to the intervention drug-SYD985, which is prodrug conjugated with trastuzumab via a cleavable linker. The unique design of the cleavable linker not only ensures high stability of ADCs in circulation, but also effective release of cytotoxic drugs in tumors via a bystander effect. The active SYD985 was released in tumor cells and resulting in DNA alkylation [35]. The activity of SYD985 is reportedly 53.7-and 2.8-fold greater than T-DM1 in vitro and in vivo, respectively [36]. Collectively, these factors likely contribute to the superior efficacy of ADCs.

For patients previously treated with trastuzumab and T-DM1 in advanced settings, PFS was significantly improved for those who received T-Dxd. T-Dxd is a new generation of ADCs with significantly superior efficacy as compared to traditional drugs owing to an improved structural design and mechanism of action. First, T-Dxd coupled of trastuzumab with the highly active topoisomerase I inhibitor Dxd through stable cleavable linker. The drug antibody ratio was 8, significantly higher than the drug antibody ratio of 2-4 of traditional ADCs. In addition, the anti-tumor activities of Dxd is 10-foled greater than SN38 (an active metabolite of irinotecan) and approximately 1000-fold greater than traditional chemotherapy drugs. Meanwhile, the anti-tumor mechanism of T-Dxd was different from that of microtubule chemotherapy drugs for breast cancer, which effectively avoided cross resistance. Moreover, T-Dxd exhibited excellent cell membrane permeability, allowing penetration of adjacent tumor cells to exert a strong bystander effect. Therefore, T-Dxd can achieve superior anti-tumor effects,





Fig. 2 Quality assessment for risk of bias for the included randomized controlled trials

even against tumors with low HER2 expression [37, 38].

This meta-analysis of seven RCTs was the first comprehensive study to compare the effectiveness of ADCs with other HER2-targeted therapies for treatment of HER2positive advanced BC. Seven RCTs of 3870 patients tested three types of ADCs (T-DM1, SYD985, and T-Dxd). Notably, the global multicenter phase III clinical trials DESTINY BREAST 02 and TULIP (reported in 2023 and 2021, respectively) were not included in previous meta-analyses [39–41]. Comparatively, the present metaanalysis included high-quality trials with relatively larger cohorts and more available drugs, thus offering more reliable conclusions. Furthermore, subgroup analysis was performed to identify potential sources of heterogeneity. The results of the subgroup analysis were basically consistent with the preliminary analysis. These findings offer useful information for treatment of HER2-positive advanced BC.

(A)				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
DESTINY BREAST 02	-1.0357	0.121	14.7%	0.35 [0.28, 0.45]	
EMILIA	-0.4296	0.0858	15.7%	0.65 [0.55, 0.77]	
MARIANNE(a)	-0.0962	0.0977	15.4%	0.91 [0.75, 1.10]	
MARIANNE(b)	-0.1421	0.1022	15.3%	0.87 [0.71, 1.06]	
TDM4450g	-0.5261	0.2529	10.0%	0.59 [0.36, 0.97]	
TH3RESA	-0.6415	0.1153	14.8%	0.53 [0.42, 0.66]	
TULIP	-0.4439	0.1375	14.1%	0.64 [0.49, 0.84]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.63 [0.49, 0.80]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1	09; Chi² = 48.17, df =				
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 3.71 (P = 0.0002)				Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]
					r areare (experimental) in areare (centrel)
(B)				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
(B) Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> DESTINY BREAST 02	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422	<u>SE</u> 0.1384	Weight 10.3%	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 (0.50, 0.86)	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871	<u>SE</u> 0.1384 0.0812	Weight 10.3% 30.0%	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a)	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696	<u>SE</u> 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0%	Hazard Ratio V, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 (0.50, 0.86) 0.75 (0.64, 0.88) 0.93 (0.75, 1.16)	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b)	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1%	Hazard Ratio V. Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) <u>Study or Subgroup</u> DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2%	Hazard Ratio V. Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) Study or Subgroup DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g TH3RESA	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602 -0.3894	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052 0.1157	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2% 14.8%	Hazard Ratio V. Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35] 0.68 [0.54, 0.85]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) Study or Subgroup DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g TH3RESA TULIP	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602 -0.3894 -0.1407	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052 0.1157 0.125	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2% 14.8% 12.6%	Hazard Ratio V, Fixed, 95% Cl O.66 [0.50, 0.86] O.75 [0.64, 0.88] O.93 [0.75, 1.16] O.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35] O.68 [0.54, 0.85] O.87 [0.68, 1.11]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) Study or Subgroup DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g TH3RESA TULIP	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602 -0.3894 -0.1407	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052 0.1157 0.125	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2% 14.8% 12.6%	Hazard Ratio V, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35] 0.68 [0.54, 0.85] 0.87 [0.68, 1.11]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) Study or Subgroup DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g TH3RESA TULIP Total (95% CI)	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602 -0.3894 -0.1407	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052 0.1157 0.125	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2% 14.8% 12.6%	Hazard Ratio N, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35] 0.68 [0.54, 0.85] 0.87 [0.68, 1.11] 0.79 [0.72, 0.86]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
(B) Study or Subgroup DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g TH3RESA TULIP Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7.	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602 -0.3894 -0.1407 91, df= 6 (P = 0.24);	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052 0.1157 0.125	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2% 14.8% 12.6%	Hazard Ratio N, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35] 0.68 [0.54, 0.85] 0.87 [0.68, 1.11] 0.79 [0.72, 0.86]	Hazard Ratio
(B) Study or Subgroup DESTINY BREAST 02 EMILIA MARIANNE(a) MARIANNE(b) TDM4450g TH3RESA TULIP Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7. Test for overall effect: Z	log[Hazard Ratio] -0.422 -0.2871 -0.0696 -0.1471 0.0602 -0.3894 -0.1407 91, df= 6 (P = 0.24); = 5.34 (P < 0.00001)	SE 0.1384 0.0812 0.1113 0.1143 0.4052 0.1157 0.125 P= 24%	Weight 10.3% 30.0% 16.0% 15.1% 1.2% 14.8% 12.6%	Hazard Ratio N, Fixed, 95% Cl 0.66 [0.50, 0.86] 0.75 [0.64, 0.88] 0.93 [0.75, 1.16] 0.86 [0.69, 1.08] 1.06 [0.48, 2.35] 0.68 [0.54, 0.85] 0.87 [0.68, 1.11] 0.79 [0.72, 0.86]	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl

Fig. 3 Forest plots of HR for PFS (A) and HR for OS (B) in patients with ADCs versus other HER2-targeted regimens

There were some limitations to this meta-analysis that should be addressed. First, only six RCTs were included in this analysis, which excluded some that were still ongoing and the results were not yet reported. Thus, further studies are warranted to assess the efficacy of ADCs for treatment of HER2-positive advanced BC. Second, the safety of ADCs was not evaluated in detail. But the results of priori on the original studies showed that the ADCs group had a lower incidence of adverse events compared with other HER2-targeted therapies. Third, the study lacked stratified analysis on sex, age, region, and drugs used in adjuvant treatment stage due to the unavailability of relevant experimental data. Hence, more detailed baseline data should be provided in future studies. Finally, one of the included studies only provided 97.5% CIs, so 95% CIs were calculated, which could have introduced bias.

Conclusion

The results of this meta-analysis revealed superior therapeutic efficacy of ADCs as compared to other HER2targeted regimens for treatment of HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC, especially for patients who previously received HER2-targeted therapy, as both PFS and OS were significantly improved. These findings provide a theoretical basis for evidence-based clinical decisions.

(A)		Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup log[Hazard Ratio] SE	Weight IV,	Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.2.1 Previously untreated HER2-directed regimen	S		
MARIANNE(a) -0.0962 0.0977 MARDIANNE(b) 0.1421 0.1022	15.4%	0.91 [0.75, 1.10]	
MARIANNE(D) -0.1421 0.1022 TDM4450g -0.5261 0.2520	15.3%	0.87 [0.71, 1.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	40.7%	0.85 [0.73, 1.00]	•
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00$: $Chi^2 = 2.52$, $df = 2$ (P = 0	28): 12 = 21%	oloo [oli o, lioo]	-
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.95 (P = 0.05)	20,1 - 21.0		
2.2.2 Previously treated HER2-directed regimens of	r T-DM1		
EMILIA -0.4296 0.0858	15.7%	0.65 [0.55, 0.77]	
TH3RESA -0.6415 0.1153	14.8%	0.53 [0.42, 0.66]	
10LIP -0.4439 0.1375	14.1%	0.64 [0.49, 0.84]	
Subtotal (95% CI) Hotorogonoity: Tou $\overline{z} = 0.00$; Chi $\overline{z} = 2.22$, df = 2 /P = 0	44.7% 21): 12 - 1404	0.01[0.55, 0.70]	•
Test for overall effect: $7 = 7.34$ (P < 0.00001)	.51),1 = 14.0		
2.2.3 Trastuzumab and T-DM1			
DESTINY BREAST 02 -1.0357 0.121	14.7%	0.35 [0.28, 0.45]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	14.7%	0.35 [0.28, 0.45]	•
Heterogeneity: Not applicable			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 8.56$ (P < 0.00001)			
Total (95% CI)	100.0%	0 63 [0 49 0 80]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.09: Chi ² = 48.17. df = 6 (P <	0.00001): 2 =	88%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.71 (P = 0.0002)			0.2 0.5 1 2 5
Test for subaroup differences: Chi² = 37.15. df = 2 (F	o < 0.00001). I	²= 94.6%	Favours (experimental) Favours (control)
(B)	1	Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup log[Hazard Ratio] SE	Weight IV,	Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Z.1.1 FIFST-line	45.40	0.04 /0.75 4.401	
MARIANNE(a) -0.0962 0.0977 MARIANNE/b) 0.1421 0.1022	15.4%	0.91 [0.75, 1.10]	
TDM4450g -0.1421 0.1022	10.0%	0.67 [0.71, 1.00]	_
Subtotal (95% CI)	40.7%	0.85 [0.73, 1.00]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 2.52, df = 2 (P = 0	28); I ² = 21%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.95 (P = 0.05)			
2.1.2 Post-line			
DESTINY BREAST 02 -1.0357 0.121	14.7%	0.35 [0.28, 0.45]	
EMILIA -0.4290 0.0808 TH2DESA -0.6416 0.1163	15.7%	0.00 [0.00, 0.77]	
TULIP -0.4439 0.1375	14.0%	0.55 [0.42, 0.00]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	59.3%	0.53 [0.40, 0.70]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; Chi ² = 18.32, df = 3 (P =	0.0004); I ² = 8	4%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.55 (P < 0.00001)			
T-4-1/05% CD	100.01	0.0010.40.0001	
Hotorogonoity Touž = 0.00: Chiž = 49.17 df = 6 /P <	100.0%	0.65 [0.49, 0.80]	
Test for overall effect: $7 = 3.71$ (P = 0.002)	0.00001),1 =	0070	0.2 0.5 1 2 5
Test for subaroup differences: Chi ² = 8.81. df = 1 (P	= 0.003). I ^z = 8	38.7%	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
(\mathbf{C})		Usered Datia	Hanned Datia
Study or Subgroup Log[Hazard Batio] S	E Weight N	Fixed 95% Cl	M Eixed 95% Cl
2.3.1 First-line	- Troigint I		14, 11AGA, 33 /0 G1
MARIANNE(a) -0.0696 0.111	3 16.0% (0.93 (0.75, 1.16)	
MARIANNE(b) -0.1471 0.114	3 15.1%	0.86 [0.69, 1.08]	
TDM4450g 0.0602 0.405	2 1.2%	1.06 [0.48, 2.35]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	32.3% 0).90 [0.78, 1.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.40, df = 2 (P = 0.82); I ² = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.29 (P = 0.20)			
2 3 2 Doct line			
DESTINY BREAST 02 -0.422 0.129	4 10 3%	0.66.00.50.0.861	_
EMILIA -0.2871_0.081	2 30.0%	0.75 [0.64 0.88]	
TH3RESA -0.3894 0115	7 14.8%	0.68 [0.54 0.85]	
TULIP -0.1407 0.12	5 12.6%	0.87 [0.68, 1.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	67.7% 0	0.74 [0.66, 0.82]	◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3.02, df = 3 (P = 0.39); l ² = 1%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.60 (P < 0.00001)			
T + 1/05/ 01	100		
Total (95% CI)	100.0% 0	0.79 [0.72, 0.86]	▼
Test for overall effect: 7 = 6.24 /P < 0.000043	70		0.2 0.5 1 2 5
Test for subaroup differences: Chi ² = 4.49, df = 1 (F	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		

Fig. 4 Subgroup analysis for PFS by prior anti-HER2 treatment categories in patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (**A**). Subgroup analysis for PFS by treatment line in patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (**B**). Subgroup analysis for OS by treatment line in patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (**C**)



Fig. 5 Sensitivity analysis for PFS (A) and for OS (B). Egger's test of publication bias for PFS (C) and for OS (D)

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-024-12478-1.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We thank International Science Editing (http://www. internationalscienceediting.com) for editing this manuscript.

Author contributions

KZA, JYQ, QYJ designed the study. QYJ, JYQ performed the data analysisi. QYJ wrote the paper. LS, YHH edited the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data availability

The original datasets for this study are included in the article/Supplementary Material.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 29 November 2023 / Accepted: 4 June 2024 Published online: 08 June 2024

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer statistics 2020; GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71:209–49.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(1):7–30.
- Dowsett M, Procter M, McCaskill-Stevens W, Azambuja E, Dafni U, Rueschoff J, et al. Disease-free survival according to degree of HER2 amplification for patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy with or without 1 year of trastuzumab: the HERA Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(18):2962–9.
- Ariga R, Zarif A, Korasick J, Reddy V, Siziopikou K, Gattuso P. Correlation of Her-2/neu gene amplification with other Prognostic and predictive factors in female breast carcinoma. Breast J. 2005;11(4):278–80.
- Maximiano S, Magalhães P, Guerreiro MP, Morgado M. Trastuzumab in the treatment of breast Cancer. BioDrugs. 2016;30(2):75–86.
- Derakhshani A, Rezaei Z, Safarpour H, Sabri M, Mir A, Sanati MA, et al. Overcoming trastuzumab resistance in HER2-positive breast cancer using combination therapy. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(4):3142–56.
- D'Amato V, Raimondo L, Formisano L, Giuliano M, De Placido S, Rosa R, et al. Mechanisms of lapatinib resistance in HER2-driven breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 2015;41(10):877–83.
- Nardin S, Mora E, Varughese FM, D'Avanzo F, Vachanaram AR, Rossi V, et al. Breast cancer survivorship, quality of life, and late toxicities. Front Oncol. 2020;10:864.
- Chau CH, Steeg PS, Figg WD. Antibody–drug conjugates for cancer. Lancet. 2019;394:793–804.
- Pamela A, Gene M, Timothy B. Antibody drug conjugates for treatment of breast cancer: novel targets and diverse approaches in ADC design. Pharmacol Ther. 2018;181:126–42.
- Marti JLG, Hyder T, Nasrazadani A, Brufsky AM. The evolving landscape of HER2-directed breast cancer therapy. Curr Treat Options Oncol. 2020;21(10):82–90.
- Zhao P, Zhang Y, Li W, Jeanty C, Xiang G, Dong Y. Recent advances of antibody drug conjugates for clinical applications. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2020;10(9):1589–600.
- Rinnerthaler G, Gampenrieder SP, Greil R. HER2 Directed antibody-drugconjugates beyond T-DM1 in breast Cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(5):1115.
- Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, Krop IE, Welslau M, Baselga J, et al. Trastuzumab emtansine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(19):1783–91.
- 15. Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, Krop IE, Welslau M, Baselga J, et al. Trastuzumab emtansine versus capecitabine plus lapatinib in patients with previously

treated HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (EMILIA): a descriptive analysis of final overall survival results from a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(6):732–42.

- Perez E, Barrios C, Eiermann W, Toi M, Im YH, Conte P, et al. Trastuzumab Emtansine with or without Pertuzumab Versus Trastuzumab Plus Taxane for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–Positive, advanced breast Cancer: primary results from the Phase III MARIANNE Study. J Clin Oncol. 2017;32(2):141–8.
- Krop IE, Kim SB, González-Martín A, LoRusso PM, Ferrero JM, Smitt M, et al. Trastuzumab emtansine versus treatment of physician's choice for pretreated HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (TH3RESA): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 triail. Lancet Oncol. 2014;15(7):689–99.
- Krop IE, Kim SB, González-Martín A, LoRusso PM, Ferrero JM, Smitt M, et al. Trastuzumab emtansine versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with previously treated HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (TH3RESA): final overall survival results from a randomised open-label phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(6):743–54.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaf J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2009;339:b2700.
- 20. Higgins JP, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions (Version 5.1.0) [EB/OL]. http://handbook.cochrane.org.
- 21. The Nordic Cochrane Centre. The Cochrane Collaboration. Review manager (RevMan). Copenhagen, Denmark: The Nordic Cochrane Centre; 2014.
- Lin L, Chu H. Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics. 2018;74(3):785–94.
- Hurvitz SA, Dirix L, Kocsis J, Bianchi GV, Lu J, Vinholes J, et al. Phase II randomized study of Trastuzumab Emtansine Versus Trastuzumab Plus Docetaxel in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–Positive metastatic breast Cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(9):1157–63.
- Manich CS, Shaughnessy JO, Aftimos PG, Tweel EV, Oesterholt M, Escriváde-Romaní SI, et al. Primary outcome of the phase III SYD985.002/TULIP trial comparing [vic-]trastuzumab duocarmazine to physician's choice treatment in patients with pre-treated HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(55):1288.
- Fabrice A, Yeon HP, Kim SB, Toshimi T, Seock-Ah I, Giuliano B, et al. Trastuzumab Deruxtecan versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (DESTINY-Breast02): a randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2023;401:1773–85.
- Pegram MD, Pauletti G, Slamon DJ. Her-2/neu as a predictive marker of response to breast cancer therapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 1998;52:65–77.
- 27. Iqbal N, Iqbal NJM. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in cancers: overexpression and therapeutic implications. Mol Biol Int. 2014; 852748.
- Chari RV, Miller ML, Widdison WC. Antibody- drug conjugates: an emerging concept in cancer therapy. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2014;53(15):3796–827.
- 29. Li L, Zhang D, Liu B, Lv D, Zhai J, Guan X, et al. Antibody-drug conjugates in HER2-positive breast cancer. Chin Med J (Engl). 2021;135(3):261–7.

- 30. Pondé N, Aftimos P, Piccart M. Antibody-drug conjugates in breast Cancer: a Comprehensive Review. Curr Treat Options Oncol. 2019;20(5):37.
- Tamura K, Tsurutani J, Takahashi S, Iwata H, Krop IE, Redfern C, et al. Trastuzumab Deruxtecan (DS-8201a) in patientswith advanced HER2-positive breast cancer previously treated with trastuzumab emtansine: a dose-expansion, phase 1 study. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(6):816–26.
- Behl A, Chhillar AK. Nano-based drug delivery of Anticancer chemotherapeutic drugs targeting breast Cancer. Recent Pat Anticancer Drug Discov. 2023;18(3):325–42.
- Fisusi FA, Akala EO. Drug combinations in breast Cancer therapy. Pharm Nanotechnol. 2019;7(1):3–23.
- 34. Austreid E, Lonning PE, Eikesdal HP. The emergence of targeted drugs in breast cancer to prevent resistance to endocrine treatment and chemo-therapy. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2014;15(5):681–700.
- Duro-Sánchez S, Nadal-Serrano M, Lalinde-Gutiérrez M, Arenas EJ, Bernadó Morales C, Morancho B. Therapy-Induced Senescence enhances the efficacy of HER2-Targeted antibody-drug conjugates in breast Cancer. Cancer Res. 2022;82(24):4670–9.
- Black J, Menderes G, Bellone S, Schwab CL, Bonazzoli E, Ferrari F, et al. SYD985, a novel duocarmycin-based HER2-targeting antibody-drug conjugate, shows antitumor activity in uterine serous carcinoma with HER2/neuexpression. Mol Cancer Ther. 2016;15(8):1900–9.
- Xu ZY, Guo DD, Jiang ZL, Tong RS, Jiang P, Bai L, et al. Novel HER2-Targeting antibody-drug conjugates of Trastuzumab Beyond T-DM1 in breast Cancer: Trastuzumab Deruxtecan(DS-8201a) and (Vic-)Trastuzumab Duocarmazine (SYD985). Eur J Med Chem. 2019;183:111682.
- Arx CV, Placido PD, Caltavituro A, Rienzo RD, Buonaiuto R, Laurentiis MD. The evolving therapeutic landscape of trastuzumab-drug conjugates: future perspectives beyond HER2-positive breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 2023;113:102500.
- Omarini C, Piacentini F, Sperduti I, Cerma K, Barbolini M, Canino F, et al. T-DM1 efficacy in trastuzumab-pertuzumab pre-treated HER2 positive metastatic breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2022;22(1):623.
- Liao H, Huang WF, Liu YX, Pei WD, Li HP. Efficacy and safety of Pyrotinib Versus T-DM1 in HER2 + metastatic breast Cancer patients pre-treated with trastuzumab and a taxane: a bayesian network Meta-analysis. Front Oncol. 2021;11:608781.
- Gunasekara ADM, Anothaisintawee T, Youngkong S, Ha NT, McKay GJ, Attia J, Thakkinstian. Neoadjuvant Treatment with HER2-Targeted therapies in HER2-Positive breast Cancer: a systematic review and network Meta-analysis. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(3):523.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.