RESEARCH

Open Access

High SNHG expression may predict a poor lung cancer prognosis based on a meta-analysis



Guo-yi Shen¹, Rong-zhi Huang¹, Shao-bin Yang¹, Rong-giang Shen¹, Jian-li Gao¹ and Yi Zhang^{1*}

Abstract

Background An increasing number of small nucleolar RNA host genes (SNHGs) have been revealed to be dysregulated in lung cancer tissues, and abnormal expression of SNHGs is significantly correlated with the prognosis of lung cancer. The purpose of this study was to conduct a meta-analysis to explore the correlation between the expression level of SNHGs and the prognosis of lung cancer.

Methods A comprehensive search of six related databases was conducted to obtain relevant literature. Relevant information, such as overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), TNM stage, lymph node metastasis (LNM), and tumor size, was extracted. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were pooled to evaluate the relationship between SNHG expression and the survival outcome of lung cancers. Sensitivity and publication bias analyses were performed to explore the stability and reliability of the overall results.

Results Forty publications involving 2205 lung cancer patients were included in this meta-analysis. The pooled HR and 95% CI values indicated a significant positive association between high SNHG expression and poor OS (HR: 1.890, 95% CI: 1.595–2.185), disease-free survival (DFS) (HR: 2.31, 95% CI: 1.57–3.39) and progression-free survival (PFS) (HR: 2.01, 95% CI: 0.66–6.07). The pooled odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI values indicated that increased SNHG expression may be correlated with advanced TNM stage (OR: 1.509, 95% CI: 1.267–1.799), increase risk of distant lymph node metastasis (OR: 1.540, 95% CI: 1.298–1.828), and large tumor size (OR: 1.509, 95% CI: 1.245–1.829). Sensitivity analysis and publication bias results showed that each result had strong reliability and robustness, and there was no significant publication bias or other bias.

Conclusion Most SNHGs are upregulated in lung cancer tissues, and high expression of SNHGs predicts poor survival outcomes in lung cancer. SNHGs may be potential prognostic markers and promising therapeutic targets.

Keywords IncRNA, SNHG, Lung cancer, Prognosis, Meta-analysis

*Correspondence: Yi Zhang drzy01@163.com

¹ Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Zhangzhou Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, No. 59, Shengli Road, Zhangzhou City, Zhangzhou, Fujian 363000, China

Introduction

Cancer is a major threat to human health [1, 2]. Thousands of people die of cancer every year, which brings an enormous economic burden to the whole world [3]. According to reports, in 2020, there was an estimated 19.8 million new cancer cases and nearly 10 million cancer deaths worldwide [2]. Among cancers, lung cancer ranks first in incidence among men and second among women [4, 5]. Various treatment modalities, such as surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, targeted therapy and immunotherapy, have been applied for cancer treatment, and patient survival has improved. However, many



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

patients are already in the middle and advanced stages of the disease when they are diagnosed [6], and the five-year survival rate of lung cancer is still not optimistic [7, 8]. An increasing number of researchers are trying to find new therapeutic targets [9–11].

With the advancement of cancer research at the level of molecular biology, long noncoding RNAs have been reported by many scientists to be significant factors in the progression of lung cancer [12–14]. Although they have no protein coding ability, long noncoding RNAs can directly act on downstream genes or signaling pathways and intervene in the proliferation, migration, invasion and drug resistance of lung cancer cells [15]. For instance, Guo et al. uncovered that linc00261 could suppress the proliferation, migration and invasion of lung cancer cells by increasing FOXO1 expression by downregulating miR-1269a [16]. Xu et al. revealed that linc00473 contributes to the invasion, migration and proliferation of NSCLC cells by sponging and downregulating miR-497-5p [17].

The expression of many SNHGs has been revealed by researchers to be dysregulated in lung cancer tissues and to be closely involved in the occurrence and development of lung cancer. SNHG can directly regulate the downstream genes or signaling pathways of lung cancer cells or act as a molecular sponge of microRNAs and then indirectly regulate downstream signaling cascades to affect the proliferation, migration, invasion and apoptosis of tumor cells [18, 19]. For instance, Wang et al. suggested that SNHG12 promotes the migration and invasion of NSCLC cells by interacting with the Slug/ZEB2 signaling pathway by serving as a sponge of miR-218 [20]. Zhao et al. discovered that SNHG3 facilitates the invasion, proliferation, and migration and inhibits the apoptosis of NSCLC cells through the upregulation of nuclear factor IX (NFIX) by sponging and downregulating miR-1343-3p [21]. An increasing number of studies have reported that SNHGs are upregulated and significantly related to the prognosis of lung cancer, while other studies have obtained the opposite results. Considering that the sample size of single studies on this topic are insufficient, and the conclusions of different studies are not completely consistent, the purpose of this study was to conduct a meta-analysis to comprehensively explore the correlation between the expression level of SNHG and the prognosis of lung cancer.

Materials and methods

Literature search strategy

Based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, a comprehensive search of six related electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Google Scholar and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), was performed. The detailed search terms were as follows: ("small nucleolar RNA host gene" OR "Long noncoding RNA SNHG" OR "SNHG" OR "Inc SNHG") AND ("non-small cell lung cancer" OR "lung cancer" OR "Lung adenocarcinoma" OR "NSCLC" OR "prognosis"



Fig. 1 The flow diagram of the eligible studies

4
ù
2
Š
'Si
-
Ĩ
10
f
ň
S
ţ
. <u> </u>
0
ð
Ĕ
S.
S
Ę
G
- <u>i</u>
, T
0
é
Ŧ
6
es
ň
ati
je.
<u>.u</u>
as
ഫ്
-
Ð
Q
Гa

		-										
Author and year	Sample size	Level of expression	Detection methods	Cut-off value	Reference gene	Survival outcome	HR with 95%Cl	ΓŪ	nci	Analysis methods	Follow-up (month)	NOS score
Wei L 2019	64	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	SO	2.56	1.2	5.47	Univariate analysis	24	8
Cui Y 2017	68	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	2.07	1.13	3.79	Univariate analysis	60	00
Li XM 2020	40	upregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	I			I	ı	7
Zhang HY 2017	36	upregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	I			1	I	7
Li CL 2019	64	upregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	I		,	ı	ı	7
Yang XH 2021	41	downregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	I		ī	I	I	7
Kang BJ 2021	66	downregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	I		1	ı	I	7
Zhao LJ 2021	35	upregulated	qRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	Not reported	I		ı	I	ı	7
Shi JD 2019	32	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	2.71	0.99	7.41	Univariate analysis	60	80
Zhao SS 2020	42	upregulated	gRT-PCR	Not reported	GAPDH	OS	2.16	0.73	6.38	Univariate analysis	60	7
Wang F 2021	50	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	1.79	0.67	4.8	Univariate analysis	60	80
						DFS	2.03	0.97	4.27	Univariate analysis	60	80
Gao N 2020	45	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.34	1.19	4.61	Univariate analysis	60	9
Dong Z 2020	40	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.36	0.0	6.17	Univariate analysis	60	9
Geng H 2020	60	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	SO	1.76	0.8	3.86	Univariate analysis	36	80
Liang R 2018	60	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	SO	2.33	1.23	4.40	Univariate analysis	48	80
Pang LL 2019	42	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	ī		ı	1	ı	7
Li LP 2020	36	upregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	3.85	1.86	10.96	Univariate analysis	60	9
Chen CH 2018	120	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	β-actin	OS	1.3	0.88	6.1	Univariate analysis	60	8
						PFS	1.24	0.85	1.79	Univariate analysis	60	00
Wang RX 2020	50	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.55	0.85	7.66	Univariate analysis	48	9
Liu SX 2019	42	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	2.07	1.04	4.14	Univariate analysis	60	00
Huang YS 2022	65	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.25	1.05	4.86	Univariate analysis	60	∞

(continued)	
-	
Ð	
Q	
Ta	

Author and year	Sample size	Level of expression	Detection methods	Cut-off value	Reference gene	Survival outcome	HR with 95%Cl	Ŋ	Ŋ	Analysis methods	Follow-up (month)	NOS score
Wang Y 2019	40	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	SO	2.27	0.83	6.19	Univariate analysis	48	6
Wang S 2018	128	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.1276	1.0638	4.1667	Univariate analysis	60	9
Guo LF 2018	40	upregulated	qRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS		1	ī			7
Huang YF 2021	68	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	4.09	1.94	8.61	Univariate analysis	48	œ
Zhang NN 2021	45	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.27	0.69	7.41	Univariate analysis	54	œ
Chen XL 2020	50	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.11	0.9	4.96	Univariate analysis	60	œ
Zhang ZH 2018	66	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	1.66	0.94	2.93	Univariate analysis	60	œ
Ma XR 2019	24	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	3.298	1.3635	7.9774	Univariate analysis	60	9
Dong YZ 2018	49	upregulated	qRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	1.84	0.94	3.59	Univariate analysis	120	00
						DFS	2.22	1.17	4.19	Univariate analysis	80	œ
Cui HX 2018	55	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.234	1.0334	4.8294	Univariate analysis	80	œ
Han PF 2021	118	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	3.71	1.67	6.94	6	60	
Jin B 2018	35	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	1.63	0.56	4.76	Univariate analysis	60	00
Li Y 2022	30	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	ı	ı	ı	I	i	7
Han W 2018	66	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	β-actin	OS	2.73	1.381	5.397	Multivariate analysis	60	6
						DFS	2.641	1.394	5.002	Multivariate analysis	60	6
Zhang ZW 2021	50	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	2.2	0.93	5.19	Univariate analysis	120	00
Fan HJ 2021	63	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	OS	2.459	1.584	4.971	Multivariate analysis	60	6
Jin LL 2019	42	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	OS	2.46	0.96	6.29	Univariate analysis	60	0

Author and year	Sample size	Level of expression	Detection methods	Cut-off value	Reference gene	Survival outcome	HR with 95%CI Lo	D D	l Analysis methods	Follow-up (month)	NOS score
Chen ZY 2017	42	upregulated	gRT-PCR	median	GAPDH	SO	6.06 2.	44 15.	07 Univariat analysis	24	œ
						PFS	3.89 1.	48 10	22 Univariate analysis	24	œ
Wang XL 2020	63	upregulated	gRT-PCR	mean	GAPDH	Not reported	1	I	ı		7

(NOS) score
ewcastle-Ottawa scale (
f eligible studies N
ality assessment o
Table 2 Qu

Author	Country	Selection				Comparability	Outcome			Total
		Adequate of case definition	Representativeness of the cases	Selection of Controls	Definition of Controls	Comparability of cases and controls	Ascertainment of exposure	Same method of ascertainment	Non- Response rate	
Wei L 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8 ^a
Cui Y 2017	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8a
Li XM 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	1	*	*	Ζc
Zhang HY 2017	China	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	Ζc
Li CL 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	ı	7 ^c
Yang XH 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	I	7c
Kang BJ 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		Ζc
Zhao LJ 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		Ζc
Shi JD 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	e ^a
Zhao SS 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		d۲
Wang F 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ^в
Gao N 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	ı	I	6 ^{ab}
Dong Z 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*		*	ı	6 ^{abd}
Geng H 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ⁹
Liang R 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ^в
Pang LL 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		7 ^c
Li LP 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	1	*	ı	6 ^{bd}
Chen CH 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ^в
Wang RX 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*		*		6 ^{bd}
Liu SX 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	e ^a
Huang YS 2022	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	89 89
Wang Y 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*			_{pq} 9
Wang S 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*		*		_{pq} 9
Guo LF 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	ı	7c
Huang YF 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ^в
Zhang NN 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8a
Chen XL 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8a
Ma XR 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8a
Dong YZ 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*		*	ı	6 ^{ad}
Cui HX 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	89 89
Han PF 2021	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Jin B 2018	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ^в
Li Y 2022	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	ı	Zcd

Author	Country	Selection				Comparability	Outcome			Total
		Adequate of case definition	Representativeness of the cases	Selection of Controls	Definition of Controls	Comparability of cases and controls	Ascertainment of exposure	Same method of ascertainment	Non- Response rate	
Han W 2018	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Zhang ZW 2021	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	е ⁹
Fan HJ 2021	China	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	6
Jin LL 2019	China	*	*	*	*	*		*	1	pq9
Chen ZY 2017	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8 ^a
Wang XL 2020	China	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		Zcd
^a Only univariate ana	Ilysis was perfo	rmed, and data for mu	ultivariate analysis were lacking	0						

Table 2 (continued)

^b The article only provides data on survival prognosis and does not provide clinical pathological parameters

^c Lack of data on survival prognosis, only clinicopathological parameters were provided

^d Fewer cases

OR "survival" OR "outcome"). The references of the included literature were also read in detail to avoid omitting relevant literature as much as possible.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Based on the reporting specification of The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) for meta-analysis, the original documents included in this study met the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: (1) There is a clear detection method to detect the expression level of SNHG in tumor tissues, such as real-time fluorescence quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT–PCR). (2) Based on the expression level of SNHG, patients were divided into a high-expression SNHG group and a low-expression SNHG group. (3) The literature mainly evaluates the correlation between the expression level of SNHG and the prognosis of lung cancer. (4) The original documents provide sufficient data for statistics. Exclusion criteria: (1) The original literature did not evaluate the correlation between the expression level of SNHG and the prognosis of lung cancer. (2) Insufficient or unavailable data. (3) The research objects are not humans but animals. (4) Written in a language other than English.

Quality evaluation of included literature

For each publication included in this meta-analysis, the quality assessment according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) score was independently conducted by two researchers, which included three major items: selection method of case group and control group, comparability of case group and control group, and contact exposure assessment method. According to the star rating system, the three items have a total of 9 points; the lower the score is, the worse the quality of the literature research. The literature with a score below 6 will be excluded, and

Study ID		HR (95% CI)	% Weight
median Wei L (2019) Cui Y (2017) Shi JD (2019) Geng H (2020) Chen CH (2018) Liu SX (2019) Wang Y (2019) Dong YZ (2018) Jin B (2018) Zhang ZW (2021) Jin LL (2019) Chen ZY (2017) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.847)		2.56 (1.20, 5.47) 2.07 (1.13, 3.79) 2.71 (0.99, 7.41) 1.76 (0.80, 3.86) 1.30 (0.88, 1.90) 2.07 (1.04, 4.14) 2.27 (0.83, 6.19) 1.84 (0.94, 3.59) 1.63 (0.56, 4.76) 2.20 (0.93, 5.19) 2.46 (0.96, 6.29) 6.06 (2.44, 15.07) 1.64 (1.26, 2.02)	1.91 4.91 0.84 3.71 33.43 3.62 1.21 4.95 1.97 1.92 1.22 0.22 59.92
Not reported Zhao SS (2020) Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)	÷	2.16 (0.73, 6.38) 2.16 (-0.67, 4.99)	1.09 1.09
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{mean} \\ \mbox{Wang F}(2020) \\ \mbox{Gao N}(2020) \\ \mbox{Dong Z}(2020) \\ \mbox{Liang R}(2018) \\ \mbox{Li LP}(2020) \\ \mbox{Wang RX}(2020) \\ \mbox{Wang SX}(2022) \\ \mbox{Wang S}(2018) \\ \mbox{Huang YF}(2021) \\ \mbox{Zhang XH}(2021) \\ \mbox{Zhang XH}(2021) \\ \mbox{Zhang XH}(2021) \\ \mbox{Zhang ZH}(2018) \\ \mbox{Ma XR}(2019) \\ \mbox{Cui HX}(2018) \\ \mbox{Han PF}(2021) \\ \mbox{Han PF}(2021) \\ \mbox{Han W}(2018) \\ \mbox{Fan HJ}(2021) \\ \mbox{Subtotal (l-squared = 0.0\%, p = 0.995)} \\ \mbox{Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.133} \\ \mbox{Currently between groups: p = 0.133} \\ \mbox{Currently between groups: p = 0.000} \\ \mbox{Han PF}(2020) \\ \mb$		$\begin{array}{c} 1.79 & (0.67, 4.80) \\ 2.34 & (1.19, 4.61) \\ 2.36 & (0.90, 6.17) \\ 2.33 & (1.23, 4.40) \\ 3.85 & (1.86, 10.96) \\ 2.55 & (0.85, 7.66) \\ 2.25 & (1.05, 4.86) \\ 2.13 & (1.06, 4.17) \\ 4.09 & (1.94, 8.61) \\ 2.27 & (0.69, 7.41) \\ 2.11 & (0.90, 4.96) \\ 1.66 & (0.94, 2.93) \\ 3.30 & (1.36, 7.98) \\ 3.30 & (1.36, 7.98) \\ 3.30 & (1.36, 7.98) \\ 3.31 & (1.67, 6.94) \\ 2.73 & (1.38, 5.40) \\ 2.46 & (1.58, 4.97) \\ 2.26 & (1.79, 2.73) \\ \end{array}$	2.04 2.97 1.25 3.46 0.75 2.40 0.75 2.40 0.77 2.11 8.78 0.79 2.41 1.25 2.16 3.03 38.99
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.980)	•	1.89 (1.60, 2.18)	100.00
-15.1 0	15	1	

Fig. 2 Forest plot showing the relationship between SNHG expression and overall survival (OS) in lung cancers

the literature with a score of 6–9 is considered suitable for inclusion in this study [22].

Data extraction

Two researchers independently obtained usable or related data, such as the name of the first author, year of publication, sample size, cutoff value, detection methods, and follow-up month. The number of occurrences and the total number of events were extracted to evaluate the correlation between SNHG expression levels and various clinicopathological features, such as TNM stage, LNM, DM, tumor size, and histological grade. Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained to evaluate the relationship between SNHG expression and the survival outcomes of lung cancer, including OS,



Fig. 3 Forest plot showing the relationship between SNHG expression and disease-free survival and progression-free survival. Note: A DFS, B PFS

Table 3 Pooled HRs of overall survival of patients with increased SNHG expression

	No. of studies	No. of patients	Pooled HR (95% CI)		Heterog	eneity
			Fixed	Random	l ² (%)	P-value
OS	30	1748	1.890 (1.595–2.185)	1.89 (1.595–2.185)	0	0.98
Cut-off value						
Median	12	644	1.643 (1.262–2.024)	1.643 (1.262–2.024)	0	0.847
Mean	17	1062	2.262 (1.790-2.734)	2.262 (1.790–2.734)	0	0.995
Not reported	1	42	2.160 (-0.665–4.985)	2.160 (-0.665–4.985)	-	-
Analysis method						
Multivariate analysis	3	247	2.793 (1.631–3.955)	2.793 (1.631–3.955)	0	0.734
Univariate analysis	27	1501	1.828 (1.523–2.133)	1.828 (1.523–2.133)	0	0.988
Number of patients						
Less than 100	27	1382	2.159 (1.783–2.534)	2.159 (1.783–2.534)	0	1
Not less than 100	3	366	1.457 (0.980–1.933)	1.873 (0.764–2.982)	48.6	0.143
Follow-up (month)						
Not less than 60 month	22	1319	1.819 (1.503–2.135)	1.819 (1.503–2.135)	0	0.962
Less than 60 month	8	429	2.373 (1.550–3.197)	2.373 (1.550–3.197)	0	0.885
NOS score						
9	3	247	2.793 (1.631–3.955)	2.793 (1.631–3.955)	0	0.734
Less than 9	27	1501	1.828 (1.523–2.133)	1.828 (1.523–2.133)	0	0.988

OS Overall survival, Random Random effects, Fixed Effects, directly HR was extracted directly from the primary articles, indirectly HR was extracted indirectly from the primary articles, CI Confidence interval

progression-free survival (PFS), disease-free survival (DFS) and relapse-free survival (RFS). If the literature did not directly give the HR value but contained a survival curve and the number of people with high and low expression of SNHG, we obtained the HR value and its 95% confidence interval according to the software Enguage version 4.0 [23].

Statistical analysis

Stata version 12.0 software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX) and Review Manager 5.4.0 (Cochrane Collaboration) were utilized in this meta-analysis. Pooling HR with 95% CI was carried out to assess the association between SNHG expression and cancer prognosis. Pooling OR with 95% CI was performed to explore the relationship between SNHG expression and clinicopathological features of lung cancers. For the heterogeneity of each result, the fix-effect model was performed for small heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$, $p \ge 0.05$). If the heterogeneity was significant ($I^2 \ge 50\%$,

p < 0.05), the random-effect model was used, and subgroup analysis was conducted based on the SNHG expression, follow-up month, number of patients, NOS score and so on.

Results

The basic characteristics of the included studies

After the comprehensive search of related databases, 975 articles were initially obtained, 174 duplicate publications were excluded, and 696 studies were discarded because they did not assess the correlation between the expression level of SNHG and the prognosis of lung cancer. In addition, 22 meta-analyses, 17 reviews and 26 articles with insufficient data were removed. Finally, 40 studies with 2205 lung cancer patients were enrolled in this meta-analysis [20, 21, 24–60] (Fig. 1). All patients were from China, and the expression level of SNHG was mostly detected by clear detection methods, such as real-time fluorescent quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT–PCR), and there were clear reference genes (Table 1). Based on the

	Study ID		HR (95% CI)	% Weight
	median Wei L (2019) Cui Y (2017) Shi JD (2019) Geng H (2020) Chen CH (2018) Liu SX (2019) Wang Y (2019) Dong YZ (2018) Jin B (2018) Zhang ZW (2021) Jin LL (2019) Chen ZY (2017) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.847)		2.56 (1.20, 5.47) 2.07 (1.13, 3.79) 2.71 (0.99, 7.41) 1.76 (0.80, 3.86) 1.30 (0.88, 1.90) 2.07 (1.04, 4.14) 2.27 (0.83, 6.19) 1.84 (0.94, 3.59) 1.63 (0.56, 4.76) 2.20 (0.93, 5.19) 2.46 (0.96, 6.29) 6.06 (2.44, 15.07) 1.64 (1.26, 2.02)	1.91 4.91 0.84 3.71 33.43 3.62 1.21 4.95 1.97 1.92 1.22 0.22 59.92
	Not reported Zhao SS (2020) Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)		2.16 (0.73, 6.38) 2.16 (-0.67, 4.99)	1.09 1.09
	mean Wang F (2020) Gao N (2020) Dong Z (2020) Liang R (2018) Li LP (2020) Wang RX (2020) Huang YS (2022) Wang S (2018) Huang YF (2021) Zhang NN (2021) Chen XL (2020) Zhang ZH (2018) Ma XR (2019) Cui HX (2018) Han PF (2021) Han W (2018) Fan HJ (2021) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.995) Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.133		$\begin{array}{c} 1.79 & (0.67, 4.80)\\ 2.34 & (1.19, 4.61)\\ 2.36 & (0.90, 6.17)\\ 2.33 & (1.23, 4.40)\\ 3.85 & (1.86, 10.96)\\ 2.55 & (0.85, 7.66)\\ 2.25 & (1.05, 4.86)\\ 2.13 & (1.06, 4.17)\\ 4.09 & (1.94, 8.61)\\ 2.27 & (0.69, 7.41)\\ 2.11 & (0.90, 4.96)\\ 1.66 & (0.94, 2.93)\\ 3.30 & (1.36, 7.98)\\ 2.23 & (1.03, 4.83)\\ 3.71 & (1.67, 6.94)\\ 2.73 & (1.38, 5.40)\\ 2.46 & (1.58, 4.97)\\ 2.26 & (1.79, 2.73)\\ \end{array}$	2.04 2.97 1.25 3.46 0.42 0.75 2.40 3.61 0.78 0.77 2.11 8.78 0.79 2.41 1.25 2.16 3.03 38.99
	Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.980)	þ	1.89 (1.60, 2.18)	100.00
1	-15.1 0	15	1	

Fig. 4 Forest plot of the relationship between SNHG expression and TNM stage in lung cancers

NOS scale, the research quality of the 41 original documents was no less than 6 points (Table 2).

The association between SNHG expression and overall survival

Thirty studies with 1748 patients were enrolled to assess the association between SNHG expression and the prognosis of lung cancer. The pooled HR with 95% CI indicated a significant positive relationship between high SNHG expression and poor OS (HR: 1.890, 95% CI: 1.595–2.185) (Fig. 2). In consideration of the inconsistent cutoff values, follow-up time, NOS scores and HR sources between different primary studies, the results of the subgroup analysis show that elevated SNHG expression implies worse OS in the mean value

cutoff subgroup (HR: 2.262, 95% CI: 1.790–2.734), the median-value cutoff subgroup (HR: 1.643, 95% CI: 1.262–2.024), the subgroup of multivariate analysis (HR: 2.793, 95% CI: 1.631–3.955) and univariate analysis (HR: 1.828, 95% CI: 1.523–2.133). At the same time, the pooled HR and 95% CI values revealed that high SNHG expression predicted poor disease-free survival (DFS) (HR: 2.31, 95% CI: 1.57–3.39) (Fig. 3A) and progression-free survival (PFS) (HR: 2.01, 95% CI: 0.66–6.07) (Fig. 3B and Table 3).

The association between SNHG expression and TNM stage Twenty-eight studies comprising 1589 patients were included in this study to explore the relationship between SNHG expression and TNM stage. Pooled OR and 95%

Table 4 Pool effects of clinicopathologic characteristics in lung cancer patients with abnormal SNHG expression

Clinicopathologic characteristics	No. of studies	No. of patients	Odds ratio (95% Cl))	Р	Hetero	geneity
			Fixed	Random		l ² (%)	P-value
Age	28	1619	1.045 (0.879–1.242)	1.045 (0.879–1.243)	0.62	0	1
Gender	28	1584	0.970 (0.806–1.166)	0.970 (0.806–1.168)	0.744	0	1
TNM (III+IV vs. I+II)	28	1589	1.509 (1.267–1.799)	1.521 (1.220–1.897)	< 0.0001	30.3	0.064
SNHG expression							
High SNHG expression	26	1484	1.650 (1.374–1.980)	1.654 (1.366–2.003)	< 0.0001	4.2	0.402
Low SNHG expression	2	105	0.424 (0.207-0.867)	0.426 (0.208–0.872)	0.019	0	0.684
NOS score							
9	2	184	2.043 (1.215–3.435)	2.039 (1.212-3.432)	0.007	0	0.616
Less than 9	26	1405	1.451 (1.204–1.748)	1.472 (1.160–1.868)	< 0.0001	32.5	0.054
LNM (present vs. absent)	29	1662	1.540 (1.298–1.828)	1.551 (1.257–1.914)	< 0.0001	27.1	0.091
SNHG expression							
High SNHG expression	27	1557	1.681 (1.406–2.008)	1.666 (1.389–1.997)	< 0.0001	0	0.514
Low SNHG expression	2	105	0.435 (0.216–0.876)	0.437 (0.216-0.882)	0.02	0	0.613
NOS score							
9	3	247	1.857 (1.203–2.865)	1.848 (1.195–2.858)	0.005	0	0.574
Less than 9	26	1415	1.488 (1.235–1.792)	1.504 (1.187–1.905)	< 0.0001	31.4	0.065
Tumor size (big vs small)	23	1324	1.509 (1.245–1.829)	1.557 (1.217–1.992)	< 0.0001	31.7	0.074
SNHG expression							
High SNHG expression	21	1219	1.645 (1.344–2.013)	1.664 (1.325–2.091)	< 0.0001	14.9	0.264
Low SNHG expression	2	105	0.584 (0.296–1.149)	0.587 (0.293–1.176)	0.119	2.5	0.311
NOS score							
9	1	66	1.917 (0.820–4.478)	1.917 (0.820–4.478)	0.133	-	-
less than 9	22	1258	1.489 (1.222–1.814)	1.545 (1.194–2.001)	0.001	34.1	0.061
Histological grade	9	567	1.248 (0.938–1.661)	1.247 (0.936–1.660)	0.128	0	0.97
NOS score							
9	2	184	1.380 (0.831–2.291)	1.378 (0.828–2.292)	0.213	0	0.43
Less than 9	7	383	1.191 (0.843–1.683)	1.190 (0.842–1.683)	0.321	0	0.962
DM (present vs. absent)	3	136	0.933 (0.463–1.882)	0.933 (0.462–1.885)	0.848	0	0.929
Invasion depth (T3+T4/T1+T2)	1	40	1.029 (0.297–3.566)	1.029 (0.297–3.566)	0.965	-	-

TNM Tumor Node Metastasis, LNM Lymph node metastasis, DM Distant metastasis, CI Confidence interval, No. Number, NA Not applicable

CI values showed that high SNHG expression predicted advanced TNM stage (OR: 1.509, 95% CI: 1.267–1.799) (Fig. 4). The results of subgroup analysis indicated advanced TNM stage of lung cancers was correlated with high SNHG expression (OR: 1.650, 95% CI: 1.374–1.980), NOS score \geq 9 (OR: 2.043, 95% CI: 1.215–3.435) and NOS score < 9 (OR: 1.451, 95% CI: 1.204–1.748). However, in the low SNHG expression subgroup, high SNHG expression implies a favorable TNM stage (OR: 0.424, 95% CI: 0.207–0.867) (Table 4).

The association between SNHG expression and LNM

Twenty-nine publications involving 1662 patients were enrolled to evaluate the relationship between SNHG expression and LNM. Pooled OR and 95% CI results suggested a significant association between increased SNHG expression and distant lymph node metastasis (OR: 1.540, 95% CI: 1.298–1.828) (Fig. 5). Based on the subgroup analysis, we found an increased risk of distant lymph node metastasis of lung cancer cells in the subgroups with high SNHG expression (OR: 1.681, 95% CI: 1.406–2.008), NOS score \geq 9 (OR: 1.857, 95% CI: 1.203–2.865) and NOS score < 9 (OR: 1.488, 95% CI: 1.235–1.792). In addition, there was a lower likelihood of distant lymph node metastasis in the subgroup with low SNHG expression (OR: 0.435, 95% CI: 0.216–0.876) (Table 4).

The association between SNHG expression and other clinicopathological parameters

Pooled OR and 95% CI values also revealed a marked positive correlation between high SNHG expression and large tumor size (OR: 1.509, 95% CI: 1.245– 1.829) (Fig. 6). The correlations between SNHG expression and histological grade (OR: 1.248, 95% CI: 0.938–1.661) (Fig. 7A), depth of invasion (OR: 1.029, 95% CI: 0.297–3.566), DM (OR: 0.933, 95% CI: 0.463–1.882) (Fig. 7B), age (OR: 1.045, 95% CI: 0.879–1.242) and sex (OR: 0.970, 95% CI: 0.806–1.166) were nonsignificant (Table 4).



Fig. 5 Forest plot of the relationship between SNHG expression and LNM in lung cancers



Fig. 6 Forest plot of the relationship between SNHG expression and tumor size in lung cancers

Sensitivity and publication bias analyses

The results of the sensitivity analysis showed that removing any one study did not significantly change the overall results, supporting the reliability and stability of this meta-analysis (Fig. 8). The results of publication bias analysis showed that there was no significant publication bias for each outcome, suggesting that none of the individual studies contribute significant statistical bias or other types of bias (Fig. 9).

Discussion

An increasing number of long noncoding RNAs have been shown to be significantly involved in cancer progression and are clearly associated with cancer prognosis. Many noncoding RNAs have been reported to affect the occurrence and development of cancer by affecting cell biological behaviors such as tumor cell proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis, drug resistance or immune escape. In recent years, lung cancer-associated long noncoding RNAs have been gradually revealed. The biological behavior of lung cancer cells is significantly regulated by long noncoding RNAs. For example, Xu et al. reported that linc00473 may contribute to the proliferation, migration, invasion and inhibition of apoptosis of NSCLC cells by activating the ERK/p38 and MAPK signaling axes by sponging and downregulating miR-497-5p [17]. Zhong et al. revealed that lncRNA TTN-AS1 enhances the invasion and migration of NSCLC cells by increasing ZEB1 expression by suppressing miR-4677-3p [61]. Moreover, the prognosis of lung cancer patients has been reported to be significantly correlated with dysregulation of long noncoding RNAs [62].

The SNHG long noncoding RNA family, a class of small molecules without protein coding function, includes dozens of family members. An increasing number of studies have reported that the expression of SNHGs is dysregulated in lung tissue. Abnormally expressed SNHGs can affect the occurrence and development of lung cancer by affecting a series of biological behaviors of lung cancer cells, such as proliferation, migration, apoptosis, immune escape and drug resistance. Differential expression of SNHGs is significantly correlated with the prognosis of lung cancer. In this study, by pooling HR values from different studies, high expression of SNHGs was



Fig. 7 Forest plot of the relationship between SNHG expression and histological grade and distant metastasis in lung cancers. Note: A histological grade, B distant metastasis



Fig. 8 Sensitivity analysis for SNHG expression in detecting the overall survival (OS) of lung cancer patients. Note: HR: hazard ratio, CI: confidence interval

found to be positively correlated with a poor prognosis for lung cancer. SNHG2, SNHG3, and SNHG10 are expressed at low levels in lung cancer tissues, while other SNHGs are upregulated in lung cancer tissues. Considering these findings, we conducted a subgroup analysis based on the expression level of SNHGs in lung cancer, and the results showed that low expression of SNHG2 and SNHG3 predicted a poor prognosis for lung cancer, while high expression predicted a poor prognosis for lung cancer. Due to different cutoff values (mean and median), different analysis methods (univariate analysis and multivariate analysis), and different follow-up times and sample sizes among different studies, we performed subgroup analysis to compare the results of these types of studies. The results showed that among studies employing multivariate analysis, univariate analysis, the mean cutoff value and the median cutoff value, high expression of SNHG predicted poor prognosis of lung cancer. In addition, the combined HR value results showed that high expression of SNHG was significantly positively correlated with unsatisfactory progression-free survival and disease-free survival. The pooled OR value and 95% CI results showed that high expression of SNHG predicted advanced TNM stage, increased risk of lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis, larger tumor diameter, and worse histological grade. Considering the differences in research quality and inconsistent cutoff values among original studies, we also conducted subgroup analysis, and the results showed that high expression of SNHG still predicted poor survival outcomes among different subgroups.

An increasing number of studies have reported the molecular biological mechanism by which SNHG affects the progression of lung cancer (Table 5). First, SNHG can directly act on downstream genes or signaling pathways to affect a series of biological behaviors of lung cancer cells; for example, Zhang et al. reported that SNHG1 may contribute to the migration and invasion of NSCLC cells by upregulating zinc finger E-boxbinding homeobox 1 (ZEB1) [56]. Shi et al. revealed that SNHG3 could drive the proliferation and migration of lung cancer cells by interacting with the IL-6/ JAK2/STAT3 pathway [49]. Guo et al. discovered that DANCR (also named SNHG13) facilitates the proliferation, migration, invasion and EMT process of tumor cells through the upregulation of the p21 gene [34]. Second, SNHG could serve as a competing endogenous RNA and indirectly regulate downstream genes or signaling pathways by sponging microRNAs. For instance, Cui et al. suggested that SNHG1 may induce the proliferation and cell cycle and suppress the apoptosis of lung cancer cells by upregulating Wnt/β-catenin signaling by sponging and downregulating miR-101-3p [28]. Zhao et al. demonstrated that SNHG3 may promote the proliferation, migration, and invasion and inhibit the apoptosis of NSCLC cells through the upregulation of nuclear factor IX (NFIX) by sponging miR-1343-3p [21]. Wang et al. demonstrated that SNHG12 could



Fig. 9 Publication bias regarding the relationship between SNHG expression and survival outcome in lung cancer. Note: A OS; B TNM stage; C LNM; D Tumor size; E Histological grade; F DM

facilitate the migration and EMT process of tumor cells by interacting with the Slug/ZEB2 signaling pathway by decreasing miR-218 [20]. In addition, some family members of SNHG can also regulate the drug resistance of NSCLC cells; for example, Wei et al. revealed that SNHG1 may reduce the cisplatin sensitivity of A549/ DDP cells by increasing Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase 2 (ROCK2) expression by sponging and downregulating miR-101-3p [54]. Yang et al. reported that growth arrest specific 5 (GAS5, also named SNHG2) could reduce H1299/DDP cell migration, invasion and EMT and reduce cisplatin resistance through the upregulation of phospholysine phosphohistidine inorganic pyrophosphate phosphatase (LHPP)

Lnc RNA Expression level Role micro-RNA Downstream Cell line Function (high Reference targets or snhg expression) pathways SNHG1 upregulated oncogene miR-101-3p ROCK2 A549, A549/ reduce cisplatin Wei L 2019 [54] DDP,NCI-H520/DDP sensitivity SNHG1 Wnt/β-catenin A549, SPC-A1, H23 promote proliferaupregulated oncogene miR-101-3p Cui Y 2017 [28] signaling and NCI-H520 tion and cell cycle, suppress apoptosis SNHG1 FRAT1 BEAS-2B, H23, induce cell Li XM 2020 [42] upregulated miR-361-3p oncogene H1299 proliferation, migration, invasion, inhibit apoptosis Zhang HY 2017 SNHG1 upregulated ZEB1 H-266 and SK-MES-1 promotes cell oncogene metastasis and inva-[56] sion GAS5 downregulated tumor suppressor miR-217 I HPP H1299, A549, A549/ reduced NSCLC/ Yang XH 2021 DDP and H1299/ DDP cell migration, [55] gene DDP invasion and EMT process, reduces cisplatin-resistance SNHG3 downregulated tumor suppressor miR-890 HBE, A549, H1299, Inhibits the Prolif-Kang BJ 2021 H1975 [40] eration, Migration gene and Invasion, and Promotes the Apoptosis BEAS-2B, H1299, Zhao LJ 2021 SNHG3 upregulated miR-1343-3p NFIX promotes prolifoncoaene eration, migration H358, A549 [60] and H1975 and invasion and inhibits apoptosis SNHG3 IL-6/JAK2/STAT3 CMT-167, LLC, Shi JD 2019 [49] promotes proliferaupregulated oncogene pathway CMT-170, tion and migration and CMT-181 A549, H322, H1299, induce Proliferation, SNHG3 upregulated oncogene miR-216a ZEB1 Zhao SS 2020 GLC-82, and SPC-A1 Migration and Inva-[21] sion, and suppress Apoptosis SNHG4 upregulated oncogene miR-let-7e KDM3A/p21 path-H1299, H1650, promotes prolif-Wang F 2020 H1975, and SPCA1 eration, migration way [50] and invasion and inhibits apoptosis SNHG5 downregulated tumor suppressor miR-377 miR377/CASP1 axis PC9 and A549 enhances gefitinib Wang ZX 2018 sensitivity [63] gene SNHG6 upregulated miR-485-3p VPS45 BEAS-2B, H520, induce cell growth, Gao N 2020 [32] oncogene H596, H1650, H1703 migration and invasion SNHG6 miR-490-3p RSF1, Bcl-2, bax, A549, H460 Promotes Prolif-Dong Z 2020 upregulated oncogene caspase-3 and H1299 eration and Inhibits [30] Apoptosis SNHG6 upregulated oncogene ETS1, MMP2, MMP9 A549, H226, H292, promotes prolifera-Geng H 2020 ANP973 and H1299 tion and migration [33] SNHG6 upregulated oncogene miR-26a-5p E2F7 A549, H1299, promotes cell pro-Liang R 2018 H460,HCC827, liferation, migration, [44] NCI-H358 and NCIinvasion, and EMT H1650 and induces cell cycle progression SNHG7 upregulated oncogene miR-449a miR-449a/TGIF2 axis BEAS-2B, A549 contributes to cell Pang LL 2019 and H1299 proliferation, [48] migration, invasion and EMT process

Table 5 Regulation mechanism of SNHG involved in lung cancers

Table 5 (continued)

Lnc RNA	Expression level	Role	micro-RNA	Downstream targets or pathways	Cell line	Function (high snhg expression)	Reference
SNHG7	upregulated	oncogene	miR-181a-5p	AKT/mTOR Signaling Pathway	A549, NCI-H1299	Accelerates Prolif- eration, Migration and Invasion	Li LP 2020 [41]
SNHG8	upregulated	oncogene	miR-542-3p	CCND1/CDK6	(A549, H23, SPC-A1, and NCI-H292	contributes to cell proliferation	Chen CH 2018 [24]
SNHG9	upregulated	oncogene	-	CAPRIN1	BEAS-2B, SK- MES-1, H460, A549 and H1299	promoted DDP resistance	Wang RX 2020 [51]
SNHG10	downregulated	tumor suppressor gene	miR-21	-	KLN 205, HCC827	increasing SNHG10 expression suppress cell proliferation	Liang M 2020 [64]
SNHG10	downregulated	tumor suppressor gene	miR-543	SIRT1	H1581 and H1703	increasing SNHG10 expression suppress cell proliferation	Zhang Z 2020 [65]
SNHG11	upregulated	oncogene	miR-4436a	Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway	A549, H1299, H460	facilitated lung cancer cell prol iferation, migration, invasion, and EMT process while sup- pressed cell apoptosis	Liu SX 2019 [46]
SNHG12	upregulated	oncogene	-	HuR/PD-L1/USP8 axis	A549, SW1573, H1975, H1299	induce immune escape	Huang YS 2022 [37]
SNHG12	upregulated	oncogene	miR-218	Slug/ZEB2 signaling pathway	A549, H1299	Accelerates Migra- tion and EMT process	Wang Y 2019 [20]
SNHG13	upregulated	oncogene	miR-758-3p	-	SPC-A1 and NCI- H1299	promotes tumor NSCLC cell migra- tion and invasion	Wang S 2018 [52]
SNHG13	upregulated	oncogene	-	p21	A549, H1299 and H358	contributes to cell proliferation, migration, invasion and EMT process	Guo LF 2019 [34]
SNHG13	upregulated	oncogene	miR-1225-3p	ErbB2	A549, SPCA1, H1299 and H1975	Enhanced Migration and Invasion	Huang YF 2021 [38]
SNHG13	upregulated	oncogene	-	HMGA2	SPCA1 and A549	promotes invasion	Zhang NN 2021 [57]
SNHG14	upregulated	oncogene	miR-382-5p	SPIN1	H1299, A549	induce Proliferation, Migration and Inva- sion, and suppress Apoptosis	Chen XL 2020 [25]
SNHG14	upregulated	oncogene	miR-340	-	16HBE, A549, NCI- H1975, NCI-H1299, SK-MES-1	induce Prolifera- tion and suppress Apoptosis	Zhang ZH 2018 [58]
SNHG15	upregulated	oncogene	miR-211-3p	ZNF217	HBEC3, H358, H1299, H23 and A549	Promoted Prolifera- tion and Migration	Ma XR 2019 [47]
SNHG15	upregulated	oncogene	miR-211-3p	-	H1799 and A549	promotes prolifera- tion and migration	Cui HX 2018 [27]
SNHG15	upregulated	oncogene	miR-486	CDK14	A549, H460, SK- MES-1, and Calu-3	induce Proliferation, Migration and Inva- sion, and suppress Apoptosis	Jin B 2018 [39]
SNHG16	upregulated	oncogene	-	ALDH2, Bax, Bcl-2	A549 and SK-LU-1	cell proliferation	Li Y 2022 [<mark>43</mark>]
SNHG16	upregulated	oncogene	miR-146a	MUC5AC	A549, NCI-H292, NCI-H460, and NCI- H1703	promotes NSCLC cell proliferation, migration and inva- sion	Han W 2018 [<mark>36</mark>]

Table 5 (continued)

Lnc RNA	Expression level	Role	micro-RNA	Downstream targets or pathways	Cell line	Function (high snhg expression)	Reference
SNHG17	upregulated	oncogene	miR-193a-5p	NETO2	BEAS-2B, A549, H1299, H1650, H1975 and CALU-3	facilitate migration, invasion, prolifera- tion and EMT	Zhang ZW 2021 [59]
SNHG18	upregulated	oncogene	miR-211-5p	miR-211-5p/BRD4 axis	A549, H1299, H23, H460, and H1792	promotes NSCLC cell proliferation, migration and inva- sion	Fan HJ 2021 [31]
SNHG20	upregulated	oncogene	miR-154	ZEB2 and RUNX2	A549, H322, H1299, GLC-82, and SPC-A1	promotes prolif- eration, migration and invasion, and suppresses apoptosis	Jin LL 2019 [45]
SNHG20	upregulated	oncogene	-	P21	PC9, SPC-A1, NCIH1975, H1299 and A549	promotes cell prolif- eration and migra- tion	Chen ZY 2017 [<mark>26]</mark>
SNHG20	upregulated	oncogene	miR-342	DDX49	BEAS-2B, A549 and H1299	promoted prolif- eration, invasion and inhibited cell apoptosis	Wang XL 2020 [53]

ROCK2 Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase 2, *FRAT1* FRAT Regulator Of WNT Signaling Pathway 1, *ZEB1* Zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox protein 1, *LHPP* Phospholysine Phospholistidine Inorganic Pyrophosphate Phosphatase, *NFIX* Nuclear Factor I X, *JAK2* Janus kinase 2, *KDM3A* Lysine Demethylase 3A, *CASP1* Caspase 1, *VPS45* Vacuolar Protein Sorting 45 Homolog, *RSF1* Remodeling And Spacing Factor 1, *MMP2* Matrix metalloproteinase 2, *MMP9* Matrix metalloproteinase 9, *E2F7* E2F Transcription Factor 7, *TGIF2* TGFB Induced Factor Homeobox 2, *CCND1* Cyclin D1, *CDK6* Cyclin-dependent kinase 6, *SIRT1* Sirtuin 1, *Erb82* Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, *HMGA2* High Mobility Group AT-Hook 2, *SPIN1* Spindlin 1, *ZNF217* Zinc Finger Protein 217, *CDK14* Cyclin-dependent kinase 14, *ALDH2* Acetaldehyde dehydrogenase 2, *MUCSAC* Mucin SAC, *NETO2* Neuropilin And Tolloid Like 2, *ZEB2* Zinc finger E-box binding homeobox (Zeb) 2, *RUNX2* Runt-related transcription factor 2, *DDX49* DEAD-Box Helicase 49

by sponging miR-217 [55]. Wang et al. discovered that SNHG5 was downregulated in NSCLC tissues, and high SNHG5 expression may enhance the sensitivity of A549 cells to gefitinib by interacting with the miR377/ CASP1 axis [63]. In addition, SNHG may interfere with the immune escape of lung cancer cells; for instance, Huang et al. revealed that SNHG12 facilitates the immune escape of H1299 cells by interacting with the HuR/PD-L1/USP8 axis [37].

There are inevitably some limitations to this study. First, all the included studies were from China, so the conclusions of this study may only be applicable to patients in China or East Asia. Second, among all the included original studies, some studies directly provided HR values, while others only provided survival curves. We could only obtain HR values indirectly through Enguage software, which makes the combined OS value of this study somewhat inaccurate. statistical bias. However, this study is the first meta-analysis to explore the correlation between the expression level of the SNHG family and the prognosis of lung cancer. In addition, this study comprehensively summarizes the molecular biological mechanism of each member of the SNHG family affecting the occurrence and development of lung cancer.

Conclusion

Most SNHGs are upregulated in lung cancer, and only some SNHGs are downregulated in lung cancer. High SNHG expression predicts poor overall survival and disease-free survival in lung cancer. SNHG may be a potential prognostic marker and a promising therapeutic target.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12885-023-11706-4.

Additional file 1.

Authors' contributions

Author ContributionsYi-Zhang and Guoyi-Shen design the project; Rong-zhi Huang and Shao-bin Yang searched databases and performed literature screen; Rong-qiang Shen and Jian-li Gao extracted and analyzed the data, analysis; Yi-Zhang and Guoyi-Shen evaluated the quality of included literature; Yi-Zhang, Guoyi-Shen, Rong-zhi Huang and Shao-bin Yang contributed to writing the manuscript. Final draft was approved by all the authors.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article or are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

No clinical patient study was conducted for this article, so no ethical statement is needed. The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 22 June 2023 Accepted: 2 December 2023 Published online: 16 December 2023

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin. 2023;73(1):17–48. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21763.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: globocan estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.
- Bhakta N, Force LM, Allemani C, Atun R, Bray F, Coleman MP, et al. Childhood cancer burden: a review of global estimates. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(1):e42–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(18)30761-7.
- Wong MCS, Lao XQ, Ho KF, Goggins WB, Tse SLA. Incidence and mortality of lung cancer: global trends and association with socioeconomic status. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):14300. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14513-7.
- Khanmohammadi S, Saeedi Moghaddam S, Azadnajafabad S, Rezaei N, Esfahani Z, Rezaei N, et al. Burden of tracheal, bronchus, and lung cancer in North Africa and middle east countries, 1990 to 2019: results from the Gbd study 2019. Front Oncol. 2022;12:1098218. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fonc.2022.1098218.
- Manser R, Lethaby A, Irving LB, Stone C, Byrnes G, Abramson MJ, et al. Screening for lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013(6):Cd001991. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001991.pub3.
- Torre LA, Siegel RL, Jemal A. Lung cancer statistics. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2016;893:1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24223-1_1.
- Bray FI, Weiderpass E. Lung cancer mortality trends in 36 european countries: secular trends and birth cohort patterns by sex and region 1970–2007. Int J Cancer. 2010;126(6):1454–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc. 24855.
- Nooreldeen R, Bach H. Current and future development in lung cancer diagnosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(16):8661. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms2 2168661.
- Sears CR, Mazzone PJ. Biomarkers in lung cancer. Clin Chest Med. 2020;41(1):115–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2019.10.004.
- Seijo LM, Peled N, Ajona D, Boeri M, Field JK, Sozzi G, et al. Biomarkers in lung cancer screening: achievements, promises, and challenges. J Thorac Oncol. 2019;14(3):343–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2018.11.023.
- Xu K, Zhang C, Du T, Gabriel ANA, Wang X, Li X, et al. Progress of exosomes in the diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer. Biomed Pharmacother. 2021;134:11111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.111111.
- Entezari M, Ghanbarirad M, Taheriazam A, Sadrkhanloo M, Zabolian A, Goharrizi M, et al. Long non-coding rnas and exosomal Incrnas: potential functions in lung cancer progression, drug resistance and tumor microenvironment remodeling. Biomed Pharmacother. 2022;150:112963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2022.112963.
- Li Y, Jiang T, Zhou W, Li J, Li X, Wang Q, et al. Pan-cancer characterization of immune-related Lncrnas identifies potential oncogenic biomarkers. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1000. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-020-14802-2.
- Wang J, Tan L, Yu X, Cao X, Jia B, Chen R, et al. Lncrna Znrd1-As1 promotes malignant lung cell proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis via the Mir-942/Tns1 axis and is positively regulated by the M(6)a reader Ythdc2. Mol Cancer. 2022;21(1):229. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-022-01705-7.

- Guo C, Shi H, Shang Y, Zhang Y, Cui J, Yu H. Lncrna Linc00261 overexpression suppresses the growth and metastasis of lung cancer via regulating mir-1269a/Foxo1 Axis. Cancer Cell Int. 2020;20:275. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12935-020-01332-6.
- Xu SH, Bo YH, Ma HC, Zhang HN, Shao MJ. Lncrna Linc00473 promotes proliferation, migration, invasion and inhibition of apoptosis of non-small cell lung cancer cells by acting as a sponge of Mir-497-5p. Oncol Lett. 2021;21(6):429. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2021.12690.
- Nie Z, Zhang K, Li Z, Bing X, Jin S, Li M. Human papillomavirus 16 E6 promotes angiogenesis of lung cancer via Snhg1. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12013-022-01121-0.
- Zhang K, Chen J, Li C, Yuan Y, Fang S, Liu W, et al. Exosome-mediated transfer of Snhg7 enhances docetaxel resistance in lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Lett. 2022;526:142–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet. 2021.10.029.
- Wang Y, Liang S, Yu Y, Shi Y, Zheng H. Knockdown of Snhg12 suppresses tumor metastasis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition via the Slug/ Zeb2 signaling pathway by targeting mir-218 in Nsclc. Oncol Lett. 2019;17(2):2356–64. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.9880.
- Zhao S, Gao X, Zhong C, Li Y, Wang M, Zang S. Snhg3 knockdown suppresses proliferation, migration and invasion, and promotes apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer through regulating Mir-216a/Zeb1 Axis. Onco Targets Ther. 2020;13:11327–36. https://doi.org/10.2147/ott. S263637.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the newcastle-ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010;25(9):603–5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z.
- 23. Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. Trials. 2007;8: 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-8-16.
- Chen C, Zhang Z, Li J, Sun Y. Snhg8 is identified as a key regulator in nonsmall-cell lung cancer progression sponging to mir-542-3p by targeting Ccnd1/Cdk6. Onco Targets Ther. 2018;11:6081–90. https://doi.org/10. 2147/ott.S170482.
- Chen X, Song P, Yao Y, Yang Y. Long non-coding rna Snhg14 regulates Spin1 expression to accelerate tumor progression in non-small cell lung cancer by sponging Mir-382-5p. Cancer Manage Res. 2020;12:9113–23. https://doi.org/10.2147/cmar.S250893.
- Chen Z, Chen X, Chen P, Yu S, Nie F, Lu B, et al. Long non-coding rna Snhg20 promotes non-small cell lung cancer cell proliferation and migration by epigenetically silencing of P21 expression. Cell Death Dis. 2017;8(10):e3092. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.484.
- Cui HX, Zhang MY, Liu K, Liu J, Zhang ZL, Fu L. Lncrna Snhg15 promotes proliferation and migration of lung cancer via targeting microrna-211-3p. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2018;22(20):6838–44. https://doi.org/10. 26355/eurrev_201810_16152.
- Cui Y, Zhang F, Zhu C, Geng L, Tian T, Liu H. Upregulated Lncrna Snhg1 contributes to progression of non-small cell lung cancer through inhibition of Mir-101-3p and activation of Wnt/B-catenin signaling pathway. Oncotarget. 2017;8(11):17785–94. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget. 14854.
- Dong YZ, Meng XM, Li GS. Long non-coding rna Snhg15 indicates poor prognosis of non-small cell lung cancer and promotes cell proliferation and invasion. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2018;22(9):2671–9. https://doi. org/10.26355/eurrev_201805_14963.
- Dong Z, Liu H, Zhao G. Long noncoding rna Snhg6 promotes proliferation and inhibits apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer cells by regulating Mir-490-3p/Rsf1 Axis. Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2020;35(5):351–61. https://doi.org/10.1089/cbr.2019.3120.
- Fan H, Yuan J, Li Y, Jia Y, Li J, Wang X, et al. Mkl1-Induced Lncrna Snhg18 drives the growth and metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer via the Mir-211-5p/Brd4 Axis. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12(1):128. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41419-021-03399-z.
- 32. Gao N, Ye B. Spi1-induced upregulation of Lncrna Snhg6 promotes nonsmall cell lung cancer via Mir-485-3p/Vps45 Axis. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020;129:110239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110239.
- Geng H, Li S, Xu M. Long noncoding rna Snhg6 functions as an oncogene in non-small cell lung cancer via modulating Ets1 signaling. Onco Targets Ther. 2020;13:921–30. https://doi.org/10.2147/ott.5235336.
- 34. Guo L, Gu J, Hou S, Liu D, Zhou M, Hua T, et al. Long non-coding Rna Dancr promotes the progression of non-small-cell lung cancer by

inhibiting P21 expression. Onco Targets Ther. 2019;12:135–46. https://doi. org/10.2147/ott.S186607.

- Han P, Zhao J, Gao L. Increased serum exosomal long non-coding Rna Snhg15 expression predicts poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. J Clin Lab Anal. 2021;35(11).https://doi.org/10.1002/jcla.23979.
- Han W, Du X, Liu M, Wang J, Sun L, Li Y. Increased expression of long noncoding rna Snhg16 correlates with tumor progression and poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Biol Macromol. 2019;121:270–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.004.
- Huang Y, Xia L, Tan X, Zhang J, Zeng W, Tan B, et al. Molecular mechanism of Lncrna Snhg12 in immune escape of non-small cell lung cancer through the Hur/Pd-L1/Usp8 Axis. Cell Mol Biol Lett. 2022;27(1):43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s11658-022-00343-7.
- Huang YF, Zhang Y, Fu X. Long non-coding Rna Dancr promoted nonsmall cell lung cancer cells metastasis via modulating of Mir-1225-3p/ Erbb2 signal. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2021;25(2):758–69. https://doi. org/10.26355/eurrev_202101_24637.
- Jin B, Jin H, Wu HB, Xu JJ, Li B. Long non-coding rna Snhg15 promotes Cdk14 expression Via Mir-486 to accelerate non-small cell lung cancer cells progression and metastasis. J Cell Physiol. 2018;233(9):7164–72. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.26543.
- Kang B, Qiu C, Zhang Y. The effect of Lncrna Snhg3 overexpression on lung adenocarcinoma by regulating the expression of Mir-890. J Healthc Eng. 2021;2021: 1643788. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1643788.
- Li L, Ye D, Liu L, Li X, Liu J, Su S, et al. Long noncoding rna Snhg7 accelerates proliferation, migration and invasion of non-small cell lung cancer cells by suppressing Mir-181a-5p through Akt/Mtor signaling pathway. Cancer Manage Res. 2020;12:8303–12. https://doi.org/10.2147/cmar. S258487.
- Li X, Zheng H. Lncrna Snhg1 influences cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and apoptosis of non-small cell lung cancer cells via the Mir-361-3p/ Frat1 axis. Thorac Cancer. 2020;11(2):295–304. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1759-7714.13256.
- 43. Li Y, Jiang L, Zhu Z, Fu B, Sun X, Jiao Y. Long noncoding rna Snhg16 regulates the growth of human lung cancer cells by modulating the expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (Aldh2). J Oncol. 2022;2022:2411642. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2411642.
- 44. Liang R, Xiao G, Wang M, Li X, Li Y, Hui Z, et al. Snhg6 functions as a competing endogenous Rna to regulate E2f7 expression by Sponging Mir-26a-5p in lung adenocarcinoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018;107:1434– 46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.08.099.
- Lingling J, Xiangao J, Guiqing H, Jichan S, Feifei S, Haiyan Z. Snhg20 knockdown suppresses proliferation, migration and invasion, and promotes apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer through acting as a Mir-154 sponge. Biomed Pharmacother. 2019;112:108648. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biopha.2019.108648.
- Liu S, Yang N, Wang L, Wei B, Chen J, Gao Y. Lncrna Snhg11 promotes Lung cancer cell proliferation and migration via activation of Wnt/Bcatenin signaling pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(10):7541–53. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jcp.29656.
- Ma XR, Xu YL, Qian J, Wang Y. Long non-coding rna Snhg15 accelerates the progression of non-small cell lung cancer by absorbing Mir-211-3p. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2019;23(4):1536–44. https://doi.org/10. 26355/eurrev_201902_17112.
- Pang L, Cheng Y, Zou S, Song J. Long noncoding rna Snhg7 contributes to cell proliferation, migration, invasion and epithelial to mesenchymal transition in non-small cell lung cancer by regulating Mir-449a/Tgif2 Axis. Thorac Cancer. 2020;11(2):264–76. https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714. 13245.
- Shi J, Li J, Yang S, Hu X, Chen J, Feng J, et al. Lncrna Snhg3 is activated by E2f1 and promotes proliferation and migration of non-small-cell lung cancer cells through activating Tgf-B pathway and II-6/Jak2/Stat3 pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(3):2891–900. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jcp.29194.
- Wang F, Quan Q. The long non-coding rna Snhg4/Microrna-Let-7e/ Kdm3a/P21 pathway is involved in the development of non-small cell lung cancer. Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2021;20:634–45. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.omto.2020.12.010.
- Wang R, Chen C, Kang W, Meng G. Snhg9 was upregulated in Nsclc and Associated with ddp-resistance and poor prognosis of Nsclc patients. Am J Translational Res. 2020;12(8):4456–66.

- 52. Wang S, Jiang M. The long non-coding Rna-Dancr exerts oncogenic functions in non-small cell lung cancer Via Mir-758-3p. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018;103:94–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.03.053.
- Wang X, Gu G, Zhu H, Lu S, Abuduwaili K, Liu C. Lncrna Snhg20 promoted proliferation, invasion and inhibited cell apoptosis of lung adenocarcinoma via sponging Mir-342 and upregulating Ddx49. Thorac Cancer. 2020;11(12):3510–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13693.
- Wei L, Yang N, Sun L, Zhang L, Li Z, Li D, et al. Lncrna Snhg1 upregulates rock2 to reduce cisplatin sensitivity of Nsclc cells by targeting Mir-101-3p. Transl Cancer Res. 2019;8(5):2141–50. https://doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2019. 09.24.
- Yang X, Meng L, Zhong Y, Hu F, Wang L, Wang M. The long intergenic noncoding rna Gas5 reduces cisplatin-resistance in non-small cell lung cancer through the Mir-217/Lhpp Axis. Aging. 2021;13(2):2864–84. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.202352.
- 56. Zhang HY, Yang W, Zheng FS, Wang YB, Lu JB. Long non-coding rna Snhg1 regulates zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1 expression by interacting with Tap63 and promotes cell metastasis and invasion in lung squamous cell carcinoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 2017;90:650–8. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.03.104.
- Zhang N, Jiang W. Long non-coding rna Dancr promotes Hmga2-mediated invasion in lung adenocarcinoma cells. Oncol Rep. 2019;41(2):1083– 90. https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2018.6897.
- Zhang Z, Wang Y, Zhang W, Li J, Liu W, Lu W. Long non-coding rna Snhg14 exerts oncogenic functions in non-small cell lung cancer through acting as an Mir-340 sponge. Biosci Rep. 2019;39(1): BSR20180941. https://doi. org/10.1042/bsr20180941.
- Zhang Z, Yan Y, Zhang B, Ma Y, Chen C, Wang C. Long non-coding rna Snhg17 promotes lung adenocarcinoma progression by targeting the Microrna-193a-5p/Neto2 Axis. Oncol Lett. 2021;22(6):818. https://doi.org/ 10.3892/ol.2021.13079.
- Zhao L, Song X, Guo Y, Ding N, Wang T, Huang L. Long non–coding rna Snhg3 promotes the development of non–small cell lung cancer via the Mir–1343–3p/Nfix pathway. Int J Mol Med. 2021;48(2):1–2. https://doi. org/10.3892/ijmm.2021.4980.
- Zhong Y, Wang J, Lv W, Xu J, Mei S, Shan A. Lncrna Ttn-As1 drives invasion and migration of lung adenocarcinoma cells via modulation of Mir-4677-3p/Zeb1 axis. J Cell Biochem. 2019;120(10):17131–41. https://doi. org/10.1002/jcb.28973.
- Pan J, Fang S, Tian H, Zhou C, Zhao X, Tian H, et al. Lncrna Jpx/Mir-33a-5p/ Twist1 Axis regulates tumorigenesis and metastasis of lung cancer by activating Wnt/B-Catenin signaling. Mol Cancer. 2020;19(1):9. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12943-020-1133-9.
- Wang Z, Pan L, Yu H, Wang Y. The long non-coding rna snhg5 regulates gefitinib resistance in lung adenocarcinoma cells by targetting Mir-377/ Casp1 Axis. Biosci Rep. 2018;38(4): BSR20180400. https://doi.org/10.1042/ bsr20180400.
- 64. Liang M, Wang L, Cao C, Song S, Wu F. Lncrna Snhg10 Is Downregulated in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Predicts Poor Survival. BMC Pulm Med. 2020;20(1):273. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-020-01281-w.
- 65. Zhang Z, Nong L, Chen ML, Gu XL, Zhao WW, Liu MH, et al. Long Noncoding Rna Snhg10 Sponges Mir-543 to Upregulate Tumor Suppressive Sirt1 in Nonsmall Cell Lung Cancer. Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2020;35(10):771–5. https://doi.org/10.1089/cbr.2019.3334.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.