

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Outcomes based on plasma biomarkers in METEOR, a randomized phase 3 trial of cabozantinib vs everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma

Thomas Powles^{1*}, Toni K. Choueiri², Robert J. Motzer³, Eric Jonasch⁴, Sumanta Pal⁵, Nizar M. Tannir⁴, Sabina Signoretti⁶, Rajesh Kaldate⁷, Christian Scheffold⁷, Evelyn Wang⁷, Dana T. Aftab⁷, Bernard Escudier⁸ and Daniel J. George⁹

Correction to: BMC Cancer 21, 904 (2021)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08630-w>

Following publication of the original article [1], it was noticed that uncorrected page proofs were mistakenly published. The publishers apologise for this error. The original article [1] has been corrected.

Below is a table of corrections which have been implemented in the original article.

Section	Originally published text	Corrected text
Article note	Rajesh Kaldate ⁷ & Evelyn Wang ⁷	Rajesh Kaldate ^{7†} & Evelyn Wang ^{7†} [†] Affiliation at the time of the study.
Abstract	Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01865747 (registered on 05/31/2013).	Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01865747 (registered on 05/31/2013). https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01865747
Table 1 note	Plasma biomarker baseline and fold change data were available for 316 and 304 patients in the cabozantinib arm and 305 and 280 patients in the everolimus arm, respectively, with the exception of for IL-8 in the everolimus arm, for which 304 and 279 patients had available data, respectively	Plasma biomarker baseline and fold change data were available for 316 and 304 patients in the cabozantinib arm and 305 and 280 patients in the everolimus arm, respectively, with the exception of for IL-8 in the everolimus arm, for which 304 and 279 patients had available data, respectively
Figure 1	Footnote is missing	High levels and low levels are defined by \geq median and $<$ median, respectively. NR, not reached.
Row 2	1.32 (0.95–1.83)	1.32 (0.95, 1.83)
Row 6	Δ IL8	Δ IL-8
Table 6	IL8	IL-8
Row 11		

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08630-w>.

* Correspondence: thomas.powles1@nhs.net

[†]Rajesh Kaldate and Evelyn Wang's affiliation at the time of the study.

¹Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

(Continued)

Table 6 Row 12	0.97 (0.87–1.07)	0.97 (0.87, 1.07)
Table 6 Row 16	Δ IL8	Δ IL-8
Table 6 Row 18	IL8	IL-8
Table 6 Row 21	IL8 / 1.35 (0.95–1.9)	IL-8 / 1.35 (0.95, 1.9)
Table 6 note	Biomarkers were included in the multivariable analysis if $p < 0.10$ in the univariate analyses. Hazard ratios are for high versus low biomarker levels. Δ Indicates the covariate is change in the biomarker at week 4; all other covariates are baseline biomarkers dichotomized at the median * $p < 0.05$ for the analysis	Biomarkers were included in the multivariable analysis if $p < 0.10$ in the univariate analyses. Hazard ratios are for high versus low biomarker levels. Δ indicates the covariate is change in the biomarker at week 4; all other covariates are baseline biomarkers dichotomized at the median * $p < 0.05$ for the analysis
Competing interests	Dr. Powles has received honoraria from Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Exelixis, Incyte, Ipsen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; has a consulting or advisory role with Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Exelixis, Incyte, Ipsen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; has received research funding from Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Exelixis, Ipsen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; and has received travel/accommodation/other expenses from AstraZeneca, Ipsen, MSD, Pfizer, and Roche.	Dr. Powles has received honoraria from Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Exelixis, Incyte, Ipsen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; has a consulting or advisory role with Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Exelixis, Incyte, Ipsen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; has received research funding from Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Exelixis, Ipsen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; and has received travel/accommodation/other expenses from AstraZeneca, Ipsen, MSD, Pfizer, and Roche.
Competing interests	Dr. Wang is employed by Exelixis; and has stock/ownership interests with Exelixis.	Dr. Wang is a former employee of Exelixis; has stock/ownership interests with Exelixis.
Additional file 1	'Track changed' version was published	Clean version is published this correction article and the original article has been updated

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08693-9>.

Additional file 1.**Author details**

¹Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK. ²Dana-Farber Cancer Center, Boston, MA, USA. ³Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA. ⁴University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA. ⁵City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA, USA. ⁶Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ⁷Exelixis, Inc, Alameda, CA, USA. ⁸Gustave-Roussy, Villejuif, France. ⁹Duke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC, USA.

Published online: 15 September 2021

Reference

1. Powles T, Choueiri TK, Motzer RJ, Jonasch E, Pal S, Tannir NM, et al. Outcomes based on plasma biomarkers in METEOR, a randomized phase 3 trial of cabozantinib vs everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2021;21(1):904. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08630-w>.