

RESEARCH

Open Access



Understanding the interplay of colorectal cancer awareness and attitudes among Palestinians: a national cross-sectional study

Mohamedraed Elshami^{1,2*}, Mohammad Fuad Dwikat^{3†}, Ibrahim Al-Slaibi⁴, Mohammed Alser⁵, Maram Elena Albandak⁶, Mohammed Ayyad⁶, Shorouq Ahmed Naji⁷, Balqees Mustafa Mohamad⁸, Wejdan Sudki Isleem⁹, Adela Shurrab¹⁰, Bashar Yaghi⁶, Yahya Ayyash Qabaja⁶, Fatma Khader Hamdan⁶, Raneen Raed Sweity⁶, Remah Tayseer Jneed⁹, Khayria Ali Assaf¹¹, Mohammed Madhat Hmaid⁹, Iyas Imad Awwad⁶, Belal Khalil Alhabil², Marah Naser Alarda¹², Amani Saleh Alsattari⁹, Moumen Sameer Aboyousef⁹, Omar Abdallah Aljbour⁹, Rinad AlSharif⁶, Christy Teddy Giacaman¹³, Ali Younis Alnaga⁹, Ranin Mufid Abu Nemer¹⁴, Nada Mahmoud Almadhoun¹⁵, Sondos Mahmoud Skaik¹⁵, Shorouq I. Albarqi⁷, Nasser Abu-El-Noor^{16†} and Bettina Bottcher^{9†}

Abstract

Background In Palestine, colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality after lung cancer. No studies have examined the relationship between CRC awareness and attitudes. This study aimed to investigate the interplay between CRC awareness and attitudes among the Palestinian population.

Methods A nationwide cross-sectional survey was carried out between July 2019 and March 2020. Convenience sampling was used to collect data from hospitals, primary healthcare facilities, and public areas in 11 governorates. Modified, translated-into-Arabic versions of the validated Bowel Cancer Awareness Measure and Cancer Awareness Measure-Mythical Causes Scale were utilized to assess the awareness of CRC signs/symptoms, risk factors, and causation myths. The cumulative awareness score for each domain was computed and stratified into tertiles. The top tertile denoted 'high' awareness, while the remaining two tertiles denoted 'low' awareness.

Results The final analysis included 4,623 participants; of whom, 3115 (67.4%) reported positive attitudes toward CRC. In total, 1,849 participants (40.0%) had high awareness of CRC signs/symptoms. There was no association between displaying a high awareness of CRC signs/symptoms and having positive attitudes toward CRC. A total of 1,840 participants (38.9%) showed high awareness of CRC risk factors. Participants with high CRC risk factor awareness were

[†]Mohamedraed Elshami and Mohammad Fuad Dwikat contributed equally as a first co-author.

[†]Nasser Abu-El-Noor and Bettina Bottcher contributed equally as a senior co-author.

*Correspondence:
Mohamedraed Elshami
mohamedraed.elshami@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

more likely to display positive attitudes toward CRC (OR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.07–1.39). Only 219 participants (4.7%) had high awareness of CRC causation myths. Participants with high awareness of CRC causation myths were more likely to exhibit positive attitudes toward CRC (OR = 2.48, 95% CI: 1.71–3.58).

Conclusion A high awareness level of CRC risk factors and causation myths was associated with a greater likelihood of demonstrating positive attitudes toward CRC in terms of perceived susceptibility, importance of early detection, and consequences of developing the disease. Future educational interventions should focus on raising public awareness about CRC, with a particular emphasis on risk factors and causation myths, to maximize the potential for shaping favorable attitudes toward the disease.

Keywords Colorectal cancer, Attitudes, Health education, Symptoms, Risk factors, Early presentation, Palestine

Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most prevalent cancer with 1.45 million cases diagnosed in 2020 [1]. In Palestine, CRC is the second most common cancer constituting 12.9% of cancer cases. Furthermore, CRC is the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality accounting for 14.3% of cancer-related deaths [2]. The growing incidence of CRC worldwide can also be seen in the Middle East. According to data from the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the Eastern Mediterranean Region is predicted to have the second-highest rise in fatalities related to CRC between 2020 and 2040 [3].

There are several risk factors for CRC that can be classified into modifiable and non-modifiable factors. Modifiable risk factors include lack of physical activity, obesity, low-fiber diet, high consumption of red meat, smoking, and excessive alcohol consumption [4]. Non-modifiable factors include older age, family history of CRC, and type 2 diabetes mellitus [4]. Signs/symptoms that could be suggestive of CRC include blood per rectum, changes in bowel habits, iron deficiency anemia, abdominal pain, and the presence of abdominal or rectal masses [5].

A person's attitude is a reflection of how they see and assess a particular matter or course of action, taking into account its consequences for themselves [6]. The attitude toward a certain disease is crucial to the adoption and maintenance of certain patterns of health behavior. It indicates a propensity for self-care behaviors that can help in reducing stress related to the disease, increase acceptance of treatment, improve the self-esteem of patients, as well as allow a more positive perception of health [7]. In addition, previous studies have indicated that personal beliefs and attitudes are accurate indicators of a patient's capacity to manage that illness [8].

It has also been shown that the perception of disease severity and appraisal of symptoms stand out as major factors affecting delays in diagnosis [9]. For example, asymptomatic presentation and lack of acute intolerable pain can often hinder presentation to primary care facilities [10, 11]. Other barriers to help-seeking for possible CRC symptoms include the willingness to use herbs, fear

of disease, distrust in medical professionals, lack of social support, and poor health literacy [12–14].

Negative attitudes toward CRC often include beliefs that it is incurable or that available treatment might be worse than the actual disease, while positive attitudes include beliefs in effective treatment and better quality of life thereafter as well as beliefs in effective screening for CRC [15, 16]. Therefore, positive attitudes may positively influence help-seeking behavior, increase participation in screening as well as lead to adoption of lifestyle choices known to reduce CRC risk factors [17–19]. This may eventually help reduce the incidence of CRC and the associated mortality [20]. It was also demonstrated that individuals having positive attitudes toward cancer were more likely to receive educational information about cancer to increase their awareness [21]. Other studies found that negative attitudes and beliefs toward cancer limited the possibility of cancer control [22, 23].

The awareness of CRC signs/symptoms, risk factors, and causation myths was found to be low in Palestine [24–26]. However, to our knowledge, no study in Palestine has investigated the relationship between CRC awareness and attitudes. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the interplay between CRC awareness and attitudes toward this disease.

Materials and methods

Study design and population

This cross-sectional study was carried out from July 2019 to March 2020. Palestine is divided into 16 governorates, including five in the Gaza Strip and 11 in the West Bank and Jerusalem. In 2019, there were around 2.6 million adults, accounting for 51.6% of the entire Palestinian population (almost 5 million) [27]. Thus, responses were collected from adult (≥ 18 years) Palestinians living in the West Bank and Jerusalem or the Gaza Strip. Public areas, primary healthcare centers, and government hospitals served as recruitment sites to collect responses from participants.

Sampling methods

Convenience sampling was employed to recruit participants from governmental hospitals, primary healthcare centers, and public areas in the corresponding 11 governorates (four from the Gaza Strip and seven from the West Bank and Jerusalem) out of 16 governorates in Palestine. Public spaces included parks, shopping centers, places of worship, transportation stations, downtowns, and others. The goal was to increase the representativeness of the study cohort by recruiting participants from different governorates and locations [24–26]. In 2019, the Palestinian population aged 15 and above was estimated at 3,109,063 individuals [28]. With a confidence level of 95.0%, an absolute error of 2.0%, and a type I error rate of 5.0%, a minimum sample size of 2401 participants was required to detect a 50% overall positive attitude toward CRC.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

To be eligible to participate in the study, participants had to be Palestinian adults (≥ 18 years old) visiting one of the designated sites for data collection. Exclusion criteria included possessing a citizenship other than Palestinian, being a visitor to oncology departments or clinics, being employed or a student in a health-related field, and inability to complete the questionnaire.

Data collection and measurement tool

In this study, two validated assessment tools were utilized for data collection. Namely, the Bowel Cancer Awareness Measure (BoCAM) [29], and the Cancer Awareness Measure-Mythical Causes Scale (CAM-MYCS) [30], were modified and translated to gather data on public awareness of CRC signs/symptoms, risk factors, and causation myths after translation to Arabic. Cancer Research in the UK and University College London produced the first version of both BoCAM and CAM-MYCS. Both questionnaires are verified instruments for determining public knowledge about CRC [29, 30]. The questionnaires were translated from English into Arabic and then back-translated into English by two different bilingual healthcare professionals in each stage. All those healthcare professionals had relevant expertise in survey design and clinical research. The accuracy of translation and content validity of the questionnaire were assessed by five independent experts in the fields of gastroenterology, coloproctology, and public health. Subsequently, a pilot study with 25 participants was carried out to ensure the clarity of the questions in the Arabic questionnaire. The final analysis excluded the data gathered in the pilot study. Cronbach's alpha was used to evaluate the internal consistency of the questionnaire, and a value of 0.80 indicated that it was satisfactory.

There were five sections in the questionnaire. The first section covered sociodemographic factors including age, gender, educational attainment, employment status, monthly income, marital status, place of residence, presence of chronic health condition, knowledge of someone with cancer, and site of data collection. The second section evaluated participants' awareness regarding 12 signs/symptoms associated with CRC. Respondents were prompted to respond on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). In the third section, participants were enquired about their awareness of 11 CRC risk factors, employing the same aforementioned 5-point Likert scale. Of the 12 signs/symptoms related to CRC, nine were retained from the original BoCAM [29] and three additional symptoms, namely 'feeling persistently full,' 'unexplained loss of appetite,' and 'unexplained generalized fatigue,' were incorporated based on other versions of the Cancer Awareness Measure [31, 32]. Similarly, 10 risk factors were adapted from the original BoCAM, with an extra risk factor specifically pertaining to 'smoking cigarettes' included due to its prevalence in the Palestinian population [33]. The fourth section assessed the participants' ability in identifying 13 myths of CRC causation x as incorrect. Among those 13 myths, 12 were adapted from the original CAM-MYCS [30], and an additional myth concerning 'eating burnt food' was included due to its prevalence as a belief within the Palestinian community. The fifth section included 11 questions about attitudes toward CRC, adapted from previous studies [34–37], and employing the same aforementioned 5-point Likert scale.

All participants were invited for a face-to-face interview to complete the questionnaire. Data were collected using Kobo Toolbox, a safe and user-friendly smartphone application [38]. Prior to data collection, data collectors were trained on the utilization of the Kobo Toolbox, approaching study participants, and facilitating the completion of the questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

Participant characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics. For non-normally distributed continuous variables, the median and interquartile range (IQR) were employed, while frequencies and percentages were utilized to describe categorical variables.

Prompt recognition of CRC signs/symptoms and risk factors was assessed using a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). Correct responses were categorized as 'strongly agree' or 'agree,' while 'strongly disagree,' 'disagree,' or 'not sure' were deemed incorrect. Additionally, respondents were queried about myths related to the causation of CRC, and answers expressing disagreement ('disagree' or 'strongly

disagree') were considered correct, with all other responses considered incorrect.

The assessment of participants' awareness regarding CRC signs/symptoms, risk factors, and causation myths employed a scoring system that had also been utilized in previous studies [24–26]. Participants were given one point for each correctly identified item. Subsequently, the cumulative awareness score for each domain was computed and stratified into tertiles. The top tertile denoted 'high' awareness, while the remaining two tertiles were designated as 'low' awareness. Similarly, participants were given one point for answering with 'agree' or 'strongly agree' on each of the questions related to attitudes toward CRC. The total attitude score was calculated. The median attitude score was utilized to dichotomize the continuous overall attitude score; a score ≤ 4 was considered 'negative' attitude and a score ≥ 5 was considered 'positive' attitude.

Pearson's Chi-square test was performed to examine the association between demonstrating high awareness in each domain and agreeing with questions pertaining to positive attitudes toward CRC. Subsequently, a multi-variable logistic regression was conducted to account for

various covariates, including age, gender, education level, employment status, monthly income, marital status, place of residence, presence of a chronic disease, knowing someone with cancer, and site of data collection. This model was determined a priori based on previous studies [24–26]. Similar analyses were also performed to examine the association between demonstrating high awareness in each domain and showing a positive attitude toward CRC.

Missing data were hypothesized to be missed completely at random and thus, complete case analysis was utilized to handle them. Data were analyzed using Stata software version 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, United States).

Results

Participant characteristics

Out of 5,254 individuals invited to participate, 4,877 agreed and completed the questionnaire (response rate=92.3%). A total of 254 questionnaires were excluded; 44 did not match the inclusion criteria and 210 had missing data. Therefore, the final analysis included 4,623 questionnaires. Participants had a median age (IQR) of 31.0 years [24.0–43.0] and 1,879 (40.6%) of them were men (Table 1).

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants

Characteristic	Total (n=4623)
Age, median [IQR]	31.0 [24.0, 43.0]
Age group, n (%)	
18 to 44	3608 (78.1)
45 or older	1015 (21.9)
Male gender, n (%)	1879 (40.6)
Educational level, n (%)	
Secondary or below	2217 (47.9)
Post-secondary	2406 (52.1)
Occupation, n (%)	
Unemployed/housewife	2067 (44.7)
Employed	1898 (41.1)
Retired	96 (2.1)
Student	562 (12.1)
Monthly income \geq 1450 NIS, n (%)	3039 (65.7)
Marital status, n (%)	
Single	1414 (30.5)
Married	3067 (66.4)
Divorced/Widowed	142 (3.1)
Residency, n (%)	
Gaza Strip	1923 (41.6)
West Bank and Jerusalem	2700 (58.4)
Having a chronic disease, n (%)	906 (19.6)
Knowing someone with cancer, n (%)	2395 (51.8)
Site of data collection, n (%)	
Public Spaces	1450 (31.4)
Hospitals	1659 (35.9)
Primary healthcare centers	1514 (33.7)

n=number of participants, IQR=interquartile range.

CRC symptom awareness and attitudes toward CRC

In total, 1849 study participants (40.0%) demonstrated high CRC symptom awareness (Table 2). Participants with high CRC symptom awareness were more likely than those with low awareness to agree on four out of 11 questions related to CRC, namely 'early detection of CRC increases the possibility of more effective treatment' (OR=1.79, 95% CI: 1.45–2.22), 'early detection of CRC increases the chances of survival' (OR=1.49, 95% CI: 1.23–1.79), 'CRC is not an infectious disease' (OR=1.36, 95% CI: 1.16–1.59), and 'would live longer than 5 years if diagnosed with CRC' (OR=1.39, 95% CI: 1.22–1.58).

'Early detection of CRC increases the possibility of more effective treatment' was the most reported attitude to be agreed on by participants with low ($n=2426$, 87.5%) or high ($n=1720$, 93.0%) CRC symptom awareness. On the other hand, 'would live longer than 5 years if diagnosed with CRC' was the least reported attitude to be agreed on by participants with low CRC symptom awareness ($n=772$, 27.8%), whereas it was 'not feeling that therapy makes a participant sicker than the disease itself if they develop it' in those with high awareness ($n=513$, 27.7%).

CRC risk factor awareness and attitudes toward CRC

A total of 1840 participants (39.8%) demonstrated high CRC risk factor awareness (Table 3). Participants with high CRC risk factor awareness were more likely than

Table 2 Summary of association between demonstrating high awareness of colorectal cancer signs and symptoms and the attitudes toward colorectal cancer among study participants

Question	Low awareness (N=2774) n (%)	High awareness (N=1849) n (%)	OR* (95% CI)	p-value
Early detection of colorectal cancer increases the possibility of more effective treatment.	2426 (87.5)	1720 (93.0)	1.79 (1.45–2.22)	<0.001
Early detection of colorectal cancer increases the chances of survival.	2360 (85.1)	1654 (89.5)	1.49 (1.23–1.79)	<0.001
Colorectal cancer is not an infectious disease.	2190 (78.9)	1565 (84.6)	1.36 (1.16–1.59)	<0.001
Taking herbs is not a cure for colorectal cancer.	1380 (49.7)	884 (47.8)	0.89 (0.79–1.00)	0.054
Colorectal cancer would not threaten your relationship with your (future) spouse.	1037 (37.4)	722 (39.0)	1.01 (0.89–1.14)	0.93
The problems that you would experience with colorectal cancer would not last for a long time.	1043 (37.6)	710 (38.4)	1.00 (0.88–1.13)	0.99
Your chances of getting colorectal cancer in the next few years are not high.	1135 (40.9)	678 (36.7)	0.87 (0.77–0.98)	0.026
The thought of colorectal cancer does not scare you.	924 (33.3)	669 (36.2)	1.06 (0.93–1.20)	0.38
If you developed colorectal cancer, you would not feel that the therapy makes you sicker than the disease itself.	776 (28.0)	513 (27.7)	0.98 (0.86–1.12)	0.82
You will not get colorectal cancer sometime during your life.	936 (33.7)	535 (28.9)	0.82 (0.72–0.93)	0.003
If you developed colorectal cancer, you would live longer than 5 years.	772 (27.8)	670 (36.2)	1.39 (1.22–1.58)	<0.001

n=number of participants, OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval.

*Adjusted for age, gender, education level, employment status, monthly income, marital status, place of residence, presence of a chronic disease, knowing someone with cancer, and site of data collection.

those with low awareness to agree on four out of 11 questions related to CRC, namely ‘early detection of CRC increases the possibility of more effective treatment’ (OR=1.84, 95% CI: 1.49–2.28), ‘early detection of CRC increases the chances of survival’ (OR=1.43, 95% CI: 1.19–1.71), ‘CRC is not an infectious disease’ (OR=1.25, 95% CI: 1.07–1.46), and ‘would live longer than 5 years if diagnosed with CRC’ (OR=1.33, 95% CI: 1.16–1.51).

Most participants with low (n=2439, 87.6%) or high (n=1707, 92.8%) CRC risk factor awareness agreed that ‘early detection of CRC increases the possibility of more effective treatment’. On the contrary, ‘would live longer than 5 years if diagnosed with CRC’ was the least reported attitude to be agreed on by participants with low CRC risk factor awareness (n=783, 28.1%), whereas it was ‘not feeling that therapy makes a participant sicker than the disease itself if they develop it’ in those with high awareness (n=499, 27.1%).

CRC causation myth awareness and attitudes toward CRC

Only 219 participants (4.7%) displayed high awareness of CRC causation myths (Table 4). Participants with high awareness of CRC causation myths were more likely than those with low awareness to agree on seven out of 11 questions related to CRC.

The majority of participants with low (n=3956, 89.8%) or high (n=190, 86.8%) awareness of CRC causation myths agreed that ‘early detection of CRC increases the possibility of more effective treatment’. Conversely, the least commonly endorsed attitude in participants with low awareness of CRC causation myths was the belief that ‘not feeling that therapy makes a participant sicker than the disease itself if they develop it’ (n=1205, 27.4%), whereas it was ‘would live longer than 5 years if diagnosed with CRC’ (n=70, 32.0%) in participants with high awareness.

Association between High CRC awareness and positive attitudes toward CRC

Overall, 3115 participants (67.4%) reported positive attitudes toward CRC. Participants with a high level of CRC risk factor awareness were more likely than those with low awareness to display a positive attitude toward CRC (70.1% vs. 65.6%, OR=1.22, 95% CI: 1.07–1.39) (Table 5). Likewise, participants with high awareness of CRC causation myths were more likely than those with low awareness to show a positive attitude toward CRC (83.6% vs. 66.6%, OR=2.48, 95% CI: 1.71–3.58). There was no association between demonstrating a high awareness of CRC signs and symptoms and showing a positive attitude toward CRC.

Table 3 Summary of association between demonstrating high awareness of colorectal cancer risk factors and the attitudes toward colorectal cancer among study participants

Question	Low awareness (N = 2783) n (%)	High awareness (N = 1840) n (%)	OR* (95% CI)	p-value
Early detection of colorectal cancer increases the possibility of more effective treatment.	2439 (87.6)	1707 (92.8)	1.84 (1.49–2.28)	<0.001
Early detection of colorectal cancer increases the chances of survival.	2377 (85.4)	1637 (89.0)	1.43 (1.19–1.71)	<0.001
Colorectal cancer is not an infectious disease.	2223 (79.9)	1532 (83.3)	1.25 (1.07–1.46)	0.005
Taking herbs is not a cure for colorectal cancer.	1329 (47.8)	935 (50.8)	1.12 (0.99–1.26)	0.07
Colorectal cancer would not threaten your relationship with your (future) spouse.	1073 (38.6)	686 (37.3)	0.94 (0.83–1.06)	0.47
The problems that you would experience with colorectal cancer would not last for a long time.	1070 (38.4)	683 (37.1)	0.98 (0.86–1.11)	0.74
Your chances of getting colorectal cancer in the next few years are not high.	1075 (38.6)	738 (40.1)	1.05 (0.93–1.19)	0.42
The thought of colorectal cancer does not scare you.	990 (35.6)	603 (32.8)	0.94 (0.83–1.07)	0.37
If you developed colorectal cancer, you would not feel that the therapy makes you sicker than the disease itself.	790 (28.4)	499 (27.1)	0.95 (0.83–1.09)	0.47
You will not get colorectal cancer sometime during your life.	879 (31.6)	592 (32.2)	1.02 (0.90–1.16)	0.83
If you developed colorectal cancer, you would live longer than 5 years.	783 (28.1)	659 (35.8)	1.33 (1.16–1.51)	<0.001

n=number of participants, OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval.

*Adjusted for age, gender, education level, employment status, monthly income, marital status, place of residence, presence of a chronic disease, knowing someone with cancer, and site of data collection.

Discussion

In this study, participants with a high level of awareness of CRC risk factors or causation myths were more likely than those with lower awareness to show a positive attitude toward CRC. In contrast, there was no association between having a high level of awareness of CRC signs/symptoms and exhibiting a positive attitude toward CRC. These findings emphasize the importance of increasing the awareness of particular areas regarding CRC to encourage people to have a positive attitude, which may influence their perception of the disease and their lifestyle choices.

There is no established CRC screening program in Palestine [2]. In all three domains of CRC awareness, most participants with high awareness agreed that ‘early detection of CRC increases the chances of survival’. This is similar to a study from Saudi Arabia that found more than 90% of participants believed that high survival rates are linked to early detection of CRC by colonoscopy [39]. This indicates that the general public believes in the efficacy of early detection. Such a positive attitude could be considered a good indicator of the acceptability of colonoscopy as a screening tool when establishing a nationwide screening program in Palestine.

Only one-third of participants with high awareness believed that they ‘would live longer than 5 years if diagnosed with CRC’. This could be related to the belief of fatalism, which is a common thought in non-Western countries where the person believes he/she has no control over their health and attributes their health events to religion or luck [40]. Cancer-fatalism is the belief that death is inevitable when cancer is present. Cancer screening, detection, and therapy are hampered by cancer fatalism [41, 42]. In Palestine, fatalism has been associated with a decreased tendency to undergo colonoscopy [43]. Similarly, a previous study from Lebanon found that 28% of participants refused to undergo CRC screening due to fatalism [44]. The majority of people in Palestine are religious [45]. Therefore, future campaigns discussing CRC screening and early detection should consider including the religious aspect to encourage people to undergo colonoscopy and to talk about their symptoms when they experience them. This is especially important given that the Islamic and Christian faiths, which are the major religions in Palestine, favor the use of medication and early detection and advocate for health-seeking [46–48].

A prior study from Oman, an Arabic country that shares similar cultural and religious background with Palestine, revealed that a lack of awareness regarding cancer signs/symptoms led to delays in seeking medical advice. This delay seemed to result from individuals’ difficulty interpreting their complaints as potential signs/symptoms of cancer, which influenced their attitude toward seeking healthcare [49]. In this study, there was

Table 4 Summary of association between demonstrating high awareness of colorectal cancer causation myths and the attitudes toward colorectal cancer among study participants

Question	Low awareness (N= 4404) n (%)	High awareness (N= 219) n (%)	OR* (95% CI)	p-value
Early detection of colorectal cancer increases the possibility of more effective treatment.	3956 (89.8)	190 (86.8)	0.73 (0.48–1.10)	0.13
Early detection of colorectal cancer increases the chances of survival.	3831 (87.0)	183 (83.6)	0.77 (0.53–1.12)	0.17
Colorectal cancer is not an infectious disease.	3573 (81.1)	182 (83.1)	1.18 (0.82–1.71)	0.37
Taking herbs is not a cure for colorectal cancer.	2118 (48.1)	146 (66.7)	2.17 (1.62–2.90)	<0.001
Colorectal cancer would not threaten your relationship with your (future) spouse.	1658 (37.6)	101 (46.1)	1.38 (1.04–1.82)	0.025
The problems that you would experience with colorectal cancer would not last for a long time.	1643 (37.3)	110 (50.2)	1.63 (1.24–2.15)	0.001
Your chances of getting colorectal cancer in the next few years are not high.	1689 (38.4)	124 (56.6)	2.33 (1.76–3.09)	<0.001
The thought of colorectal cancer does not scare you.	1490 (33.8)	103 (47.0)	1.43 (1.08–1.89)	0.013
If you developed colorectal cancer, you would not feel that the therapy makes you sicker than the disease itself.	1205 (27.4)	84 (38.4)	1.63 (1.23–2.17)	0.001
You will not get colorectal cancer sometime during your life.	1358 (30.8)	113 (51.6)	2.42 (1.83–3.20)	<0.001
If you developed colorectal cancer, you would live longer than 5 years.	1372 (31.2)	70 (32.0)	1.01 (0.75–1.36)	0.96

n=number of participants, OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval.

*Adjusted for age, gender, education level, employment status, monthly income, marital status, place of residence, presence of a chronic disease, knowing someone with cancer, and site of data collection.

no association between having a good awareness of CRC signs/symptoms and showing a positive attitude toward CRC. This coupled with the poor knowledge of CRC signs/symptoms indicates a problem in public education and is a warning sign that may lead to delayed presentation and late diagnosis [50]. It also highlights the importance of establishing a nationwide screening program for CRC in Palestine as people seem unable to promptly recognize CRC signs/symptoms when they occur. Policy-makers in Palestine can learn from a previous experience in the United Arab Emirates. Al-Sharbatti and colleagues found that almost 85% of participants in the United Arab Emirates had little to no understanding of CRC warning signs and symptoms [51]. However, the Emirati government implemented a nationwide CRC screening program that has been running for many years and has been showing direct benefits to patients and community [52].

In this study, participants with a higher level of awareness of CRC risk factors and causation myths were more likely to show a positive attitude toward the disease. This is critical as such a positive attitude toward CRC may encourage people to modify their lifestyle to potentially lower their chances of having the disease [53]. Several risk factors of CRC can be modified when people are more aware of their attribution to developing CRC. For example, a previous study from the United States showed that over 50% of current smokers who heard or saw information related to the significance of quitting smoking and its role in lowering the risk of CRC seriously considered quitting [54]. Similarly, McGowan and colleagues found that people were more motivated to be physically active when they became familiar with the protective effect of physical activity on the development of CRC [55]. A promising strategy for delivering key information about CRC risk factors and causation myths could be the integration of educational initiatives into school curricula. This approach, exemplified by the incorporation of cancer education programs into national school curricula, may mitigate delays in cancer diagnosis and improve survival rates. Such interventions have demonstrated efficacy in aiding cancer prevention and early intervention efforts in some Arabic countries like Oman [56, 57].

Future directions

Targeted educational interventions need to be developed and put into action in order to raise knowledge of CRC and evaluate how such interventions can impact attitudes toward the disease. Promoting awareness through public campaigns can be made more relevant and effective by tailoring interventions based on cultural and religious considerations. For example, campaigns should integrate and discuss the concept of fatalism in the context of Palestinian society. Community involvement in the planning

Table 5 Association of demonstrating high awareness in each domain with showing positive attitude toward colorectal cancer

Attitudes toward CRC	Low CRC symptom awareness (N= 2774) n (%)	High CRC symptom awareness (N= 1849) n (%)	OR* (95% CI)	p-value
Negative	973 (34.1)	561 (30.3)	1.12 (0.98–1.27)	0.09
Positive	1827 (65.9)	1288 (69.7)		
Attitudes toward CRC	Low CRC risk factor awareness (N= 2783) n (%)	High CRC risk factor awareness (N= 1840) n (%)	OR* (95% CI)	p-value
Negative	957 (34.4)	551 (29.9)	1.22 (1.07–1.39)	0.003
Positive	1826 (65.6)	1289 (70.1)		
Attitudes toward CRC	Low CRC causation myth awareness (N= 4404) n (%)	High CRC causation myth awareness (N= 219) n (%)	OR* (95% CI)	p-value
Negative	1472 (33.4)	36 (16.4)	2.48 (1.71–3.58)	< 0.001
Positive	2932 (66.6)	183 (83.6)		

n=number of participants, OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval, CRC=colorectal cancer.

*Adjusted for age, gender, education level, employment status, monthly income, marital status, place of residence, presence of a chronic disease, knowing someone with cancer, and site of data collection.

and implementation of interventions can improve their acceptability and effectiveness. In addition, in the era of digital communication, there is a need for investigating the role of digital health interventions, including mobile apps, online platforms, and social media, in fostering CRC awareness and influencing attitudes. Finally, robust research is needed to elucidate the influence of CRC awareness on attitudes toward screening procedures, such as colonoscopy.

Limitations

This study is subject to certain limitations. The use of convenience sampling may not fully ensure the creation of a representative sample of the Palestinian population, thus constraining the generalizability of the findings. Nevertheless, the large sample size, high response rate, and the diverse regional data collection employed in the study may have mitigated this limitation. Additionally, the decision to exclude individuals from oncology departments and those with medical backgrounds might have potentially led to a reduced number of participants presumed to have high CRC awareness. However, this exclusion was a deliberate choice aimed at maximizing the study’s ability to measure public awareness of CRC. It is also important to note that the study focused on participants’ perceived knowledge and did not assess the awareness of individuals exhibiting actual CRC symptoms. Finally, even though data were collected by trained data collectors utilizing a validated tool, it is challenging to rule out the possibility of having an impact by the subjectivity and self-recall of participants on study interpretations.

Conclusion

This study identified significant knowledge gaps in CRC awareness among Palestinian adults, specifically concerning CRC signs/symptoms, risk factors, and causation myths. Notably, while individuals who had a high awareness of CRC risk factors and causation myths tended to exhibit a positive attitude toward the disease, the same association was not evident for those with a high awareness of CRC symptoms/signs. Given the suboptimal awareness of all aspects related to CRC, tailored public health campaigns are needed. Such initiatives may have the potential to alter people’s perceptions of CRC, which may help establish a nationwide screening program in Palestine.

Abbreviations

- CRC Colorectal cancer
- CAM-MYCS Cancer Awareness Measure-Mythical Causes Scale
- BoCAM Bowel cancer awareness measure
- CI Confidence interval
- OR Odds ratio

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participants for their cooperation and participation in the study.

Author contributions

ME and MFD contributed to design of the study, data analysis, data interpretation, and drafting of the manuscript. IA, MA1, MEA, MA2, SAN, BMM, WSI, AS, BY, YAQ, FKH, RRS, RTJ, KAA, MMH, IIA, BKA, MNA, ASA, MSA, OAA, RA, CTG, AYA, RMAN, NMA, SMS, and SIA contributed to design of the study, data collection, data entry, and data interpretation. NAE and BB contributed to design of the study, data interpretation, drafting of the manuscript, and supervision of the work. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Each author has participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was not given any grants or funds.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations**Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Prior to the collection of data, ethical approval was obtained from the Helsinki Committee in the Gaza Strip, the Human Resources Development Department at the Palestinian Ministry of Health, and the Research Ethics Committee at the Islamic University of Gaza. All the study methods were carried out in accordance with relevant local guidelines and regulations. Furthermore, the participants were provided with a comprehensive description of the study's aim and objectives, emphasizing that their participation was entirely voluntary. Before beginning the questionnaire, each participant provided written informed consent, and the data were gathered anonymously.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Division of Surgical Oncology, Department of Surgery, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, 11100 Euclid Avenue, Lakeside, Cleveland, OH 7100, 44106, USA

²Ministry of Health, Gaza, Palestine

³Department of Internships, An-Najah National University Hospital, Nablus, Palestine

⁴Almakassed Hospital, Jerusalem, Palestine

⁵The United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA), Gaza, Palestine

⁶Faculty of Medicine, Al-Quds University, Jerusalem, Palestine

⁷Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine

⁸Doctors Without Borders (Médecins Sans Frontières), Hebron, Palestine

⁹Faculty of Medicine, Islamic University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine

¹⁰Nasser Medical Complex, Khanyounis, Palestine

¹¹Faculty of Medicine, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

¹²Faculty of Dentistry, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine

¹³Augusta Victoria Hospital, Jerusalem, Palestine

¹⁴Faculty of Allied Medical Sciences, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine

¹⁵Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Gaza, Palestine

¹⁶Faculty of Nursing, Islamic University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine

Received: 10 January 2024 / Accepted: 7 May 2024

Published online: 15 May 2024

References

- World Health Organization: International Agency for Research on Cancer, GLOBOCAN: Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide. 2020. <https://bit.ly/3n0DgBi>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Ministry of Health. Health Annual, Report P. 2022. <https://bit.ly/46U4fUa>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Cancer Tomorrow. Changes of deaths from 2020 to 2040. <https://bit.ly/3Rxl6pd>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Rawla P, Sunkara T, Barsouk A. Epidemiology of colorectal cancer: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. *Prz Gastroenterol.* 2019;14(2):89–103.
- Thompson MR, O'Leary DP, Flashman K, Asiimwe A, Ellis BG, Senapati A. Clinical assessment to determine the risk of bowel cancer using symptoms, Age, Mass and Iron deficiency anaemia (SAMI). *Br J Surg.* 2017;104(10):1393–404.
- Cherry KV. Mind. The Components of Attitude. Definition, Formation, Changes. 2023. <https://bit.ly/3NGmip9>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Grotto P, Santos A, Marcon S. Knowledge and attitude towards the disease of people with diabetes mellitus assisted in Primary Health Care. *Enfermeria Global.* 2018.
- Hammond A, Niedermann K. Rheumatology: evidence-based practice for physiotherapists and occupational therapists. Patient education and self management. 2010. <https://bit.ly/41tbXCR>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Molassiotis A, Wilson B, Brunton L, Chandler C. Mapping patients' experiences from initial change in health to cancer diagnosis: a qualitative exploration of patient and system factors mediating this process. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl).* 2010;19(1):98–109.
- King-Okoye M, Arber A, Faithfull S. Routes to diagnosis for men with prostate cancer: men's cultural beliefs about how changes to their bodies and symptoms influence help-seeking actions. A narrative review of the literature. *Eur J Oncol Nurs.* 2017;30:48–58.
- Hall N, Birt L, Banks J, Emery J, Mills K, Johnson M, et al. Symptom appraisal and healthcare-seeking for symptoms suggestive of colorectal cancer: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open.* 2015;5(10):e008448.
- Elshami M, Ayyad M, Hamdan FK, Alser M, Al-Slaibi I, Najji SA, et al. Perceived barriers to early presentation and symptom-specific time to seek medical advice for possible colorectal cancer symptoms among palestinians. *Sci Rep.* 2023;13(1):6871.
- Smith LK, Pope C, Botha JL. Patients' help-seeking experiences and delay in cancer presentation: a qualitative synthesis. *Lancet.* 2005;366(9488):825–31.
- Melhem SJ, Nabhani-Gebara S, Kayyali R. Cancer literacy among Jordanian colorectal cancer survivors and informal carers: qualitative explorations. *Front Public Health.* 2023;11:116882.
- Özdemir Ü, Kartın PT, Kalyoncuo S. Factors affecting attitudes towards Cancer, Cancer Prevention, and early diagnosis behaviors among Cancer Patient relatives. *J Prev.* 2023;44(5):639–62.
- Robb KA, Simon AE, Miles A, Wardle J. Public perceptions of cancer: a qualitative study of the balance of positive and negative beliefs. *BMJ Open.* 2014;4(7):e005434.
- Pedersen AF, Forbes L, Brain K, Hvidberg L, Wulff CN, Lagerlund M, et al. Negative cancer beliefs, recognition of cancer symptoms and anticipated time to help-seeking: an international cancer benchmarking partnership (ICBP) study. *BMC Cancer.* 2018;18(1):363.
- Alwafa J, Hamade O, Alayleh A, Fayad L, Khoshnood K. Cancer awareness and barriers to Medical Treatment among Syrian refugees and Lebanese citizens in Lebanon. *J Cancer Educ.* 2020;35(4):709–17.
- Quaife SL, Forbes LJ, Ramirez AJ, Brain KE, Donnelly C, Simon AE, et al. Recognition of cancer warning signs and anticipated delay in help-seeking in a population sample of adults in the UK. *Br J Cancer.* 2014;110(1):12–8.
- Magaji BA, Moy FM, Roslani AC, Law CW. Survival rates and predictors of survival among colorectal cancer patients in a Malaysian tertiary hospital. *BMC Cancer.* 2017;17:1–8.
- Sanz-Barbero B, Prieto ME, Cambas N. Factors associated with a positive attitude towards receiving cancer information: a population-based study in Spain. *Health Expect.* 2016(1369–7625 (Electronic)).
- Lamyian M, Hydarnia A, Ahmadi F, Faghizadeh S, Aguilar-Vafae ME. Barriers to and factors facilitating breast cancer screening among Iranian women: a qualitative study. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2007(1020–3397 (Print)).
- Nasrabadi AN, Bahabadi AH, Hashemi F, Valiee S, Seif H. Views of Iranian patients on life with cancer: a phenomenological study. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2011(1442–2018 (Electronic)).
- Elshami M, Dwikat MF, Al-Slaibi I, Alser M, Mohamad BM, Isleem WS, et al. Awareness of Colorectal Cancer Risk factors in Palestine: where do we stand? *JCO Glob Oncol.* 2022;8:e2200070.
- Elshami M, Ayyad MM, Alser M, Al-Slaibi I, Ahmed Naji S, Mohamad BM, et al. Awareness of colorectal cancer signs and symptoms: a national cross-sectional study from Palestine. *BMC Public Health.* 2022;22(1):866.
- Elshami M, Naji SA, Dwikat MF, Al-Slaibi I, Alser M, Ayyad M, et al. Myths and common misbeliefs about Colorectal Cancer Causation in Palestine: A National Cross-sectional Study. *JCO Glob Oncol.* 2024;10:e2300295.
- Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics: Palestine in Fig. 2019. <https://bit.ly/34u33vn>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Palestinian Ministry of Health. Annual Report for Ministry of Health in Palestine 2019. <https://bit.ly/39FtEFR>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- Power E, Simon A, Juszczak D, Hiom S, Wardle J. Assessing awareness of colorectal cancer symptoms: measure development and results from a population survey in the UK. *BMC Cancer.* 2011(1471–2407 (Electronic)).
- Smith SG, Beard E, McGowan JA, Fox E, Cook C, Pal R, et al. Development of a tool to assess beliefs about mythical causes of cancer: the Cancer awareness measure mythical causes Scale. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8(12):e022825.
- Simon AE, Juszczak D, Smyth N, Power E, Hiom S, Peake MD et al. Knowledge of lung cancer symptoms and risk factors in the U.K.: development of a

- measure and results from a population-based survey. *Thorax*. 2012(1468–3296 (Electronic)).
32. Simon AE, Wardle J, Grimmett C, Power E, Corker E, Menon U et al. Ovarian and cervical cancer awareness: development of two validated measurement tools. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care*. 2012(1471–1893 (Print)).
 33. Abu Seir R, Kharroubi A, Ghannam I. Prevalence of tobacco use among young adults in Palestine. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2020(1687 – 1634 (Electronic)).
 34. Wang MY, Lin GZ, Li Y, Dong H, Liao YH, Liu HZ et al. Knowledge, attitudes, preventive practices and Screening Intention about Colorectal Cancer and the related factors among residents in Guangzhou, China. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2017(2476-762X (Electronic)).
 35. Sessa A, Abbate R, Di Giuseppe G, Marinelli P, Angelillo IF. Knowledge, attitudes, and preventive practices about colorectal cancer among adults in an area of Southern Italy. *BMC Cancer*. 2008(1471–2407 (Electronic)).
 36. Wong-Kim E, Sun A, DeMattos MC. Assessing cancer beliefs in a Chinese immigrant community. *Cancer Control*. 2003(1073–2748 (Print)).
 37. Al-Naggar RA, Al-Kubaisy W, Yap BW, Bobryshev YV, Osman MT. Attitudes towards colorectal cancer (CRC) and CRC screening tests among elderly Malay patients. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2015;16(2):667–74.
 38. Harvard Humanitarian Initiative. KoBoToolbox. <https://www.kobotoolbox.org>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
 39. Alaqel MA, Alshammari SA, Alahmari SM, Alkhalaf NK, Bin Traiki TA, Alhassan NS et al. Community knowledge and awareness of colorectal cancer and screening tools: community-based survey of 1,912 residents of Riyadh. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)*. 2021(2049 – 0801 (Print)).
 40. Joshanloo M. The relationship between fatalistic beliefs and well-being depends on personal and national religiosity: a study in 34 countries. *Heliyon*. 2022;8(6):e09814.
 41. Le TD, Carney PA, Lee-Lin F, Mori M, Chen Z, Leung H et al. Differences in knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and perceived risks regarding colorectal cancer screening among Chinese, Korean, and Vietnamese sub-groups. *J Community Health*. 2014(1573–3610 (Electronic)).
 42. Pinheiro LC, Soroka O, Razon DT, Antoine F, Rothman J, Kanis MJ et al. Fatalistic cancer beliefs and self-reported cancer screening behaviors among diverse urban residents. *J Behav Med*. 2022(1573–3521 (Electronic)).
 43. Qumseya BJ, Tayem YI, Dasa OY, Nahhal KW, Abu-Limon IM, Hmidat AM et al. Barriers to colorectal cancer screening in Palestine: a national study in a medically underserved population. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014(1542–7714 (Electronic)).
 44. Tfaily MA, Naamani D, Kassir A, Sleiman S, Ouattara M, Moacdieh MP et al. Awareness of Colorectal Cancer and attitudes towards its Screening guidelines in Lebanon. *Ann Glob Health*. 2019.
 45. Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. Preliminary Results of the Population, Housing and Establishments Census 2017. 2018. <https://bit.ly/3RR9XB7>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
 46. Malik MM. Islamic Perceptions of Medication with special reference to ordinary and extraordinary means of Medical Treatment. *Bangladesh J Bioeth*. 2013.
 47. Tearfund. Bible study: Should Christians go to doctors?. 2017. <https://bit.ly/41zKLCw>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
 48. Abu Seir R, Kharroubi A. Implementation of Palliative Care in Palestine: Cultural and Religious perspectives. *Palliat Med Hosp Care Open J*. 2017;SE:54–9.
 49. Al-Azri M, Al-Hamed I, Al-Awisi H, Al-Hinai M, Davidson R. Public Awareness of Warning Signs and symptoms of Cancer in Oman: A Community-based survey of adults. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2015;16(7):2731–7.
 50. Brenner H, Jansen L, Ulrich A, Chang-Claude J, Hoffmeister M. Survival of patients with symptom- and screening-detected colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016(1949–2553 (Electronic)).
 51. Al-Sharbati S, Muttappallymyalil J, Sreedharan J, Almosawy Y. Predictors of Colorectal Cancer knowledge among adults in the United Arab Emirates. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2017(2476-762X (Electronic)).
 52. Alsaad LN, Sreedharan J. Practice of colorectal cancer screening in the United Arab Emirates and factors associated – a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2023.
 53. Yu J, Feng Q, Kim JH, Zhu Y. Combined effect of healthy lifestyle factors and risks of colorectal adenoma, Colorectal Cancer, and Colorectal Cancer Mortality: systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Front Oncol*. 2022(2234-943X (Print)).
 54. Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services. Campaign to Increase Colorectal Cancer Screening and Decrease Smoking in St. Francois County, Missouri. 2017. <https://bit.ly/48vv6q1>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
 55. McGowan EL, Prapavessis H. Colon cancer information as a source of exercise motivation for relatives of patients with colon cancer. *Psychol Health Med*. 2010(1465–3966 (Electronic)).
 56. Al-Hosni K, Chan MF, Al-Azri M. Effectiveness of an Educational Program on awareness of breast Cancer risk factors, symptoms, and barriers to seeking medical help among adolescent Omani School Students—An interventional study. *Curr Oncol*. 2023;30(4):4126–38.
 57. Al-Hosni K, Chan MF, Al-Azri M. Effectiveness of an Educational Program on Awareness of Cancer Risk factors, symptoms, and barriers to medical help-seeking among adolescent Omani students: an interventional study. *J Cancer Educ*. 2023;38(4):1304–12.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.